



Poverty and Climate Change

Reducing the Vulnerability of the Poor through Adaptation

prepared by:

- African Development Bank
- Asian Development Bank
- Department for International Development, United Kingdom
- Directorate-General for Development, European Commission
- Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development, Germany
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs - Development Cooperation, The Netherlands
- Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development
- United Nations Development Programme
- United Nations Environment Programme
- The World Bank







Contents

List of Boxes, Figures, Tables, Acronyms and Abbreviations	IV
Foreword	V
Acknowledgements	VI
Executive Summary	IX
Poverty Reduction – the Challenge of the 21st Century	IX
Climate Change is Happening and Will Increasingly Affect the Poor	IX
Adaptation is Necessary	X
Strengthening Adaptation Efforts	XI
Next Steps	XII
Part 1: Climate Change and the Poor	1
1.1 Climate Change is a Reality	1
1.2 Developing Countries Will Be Particularly Affected	5
1.3 Adaptation is a Necessity	5
1.4 Existing Vulnerability to Climate Variability	5
1.5 Already Stressed Coping Capacities	6
1.6 Climate Change Compounding Existing Risks and Vulnerabilities	7
1.7 Implications for Poverty Eradication	11
Part 2: Adaptation Lessons from Past Experience	15
2.1 Addressing Vulnerability in the Context of Sustainable Livelihoods	15
2.2 Equitable Growth and Adaptation to Climate Change	19
2.3 Improving Governance to Mainstream Climate Issues in Poverty Reduction	24
Part 3: The Way Forward	29
3.1 Mainstream Adaptation into Sustainable Development	29
3.2 Continue and Strengthen Assessment and Information Gathering	31
3.3 Engagement with the UNFCCC Process	31
3.4 Ensure Synergies with Other Multilateral Environmental Agreements	32
3.5 External Funding	33
Notes	35
Glossary	37
References	39



List of Boxes

Box 1	Climate Change Impacts on Malaria	9
Box 2	Impacts of Climate Change on Small Island States: The Pacific	10
Box 3	Drought and Livelihoods in the Sahel	16
Box 4	Need for Social Capital Building to Cope with Climate Impacts	16
Box 5	Mangrove Planting in Vietnam	17
Box 6	Climate Information for Southern African Farmers	18
Box 7	Traditional Forecasting in the Andes	19
Box 8	Economic Planning for Disasters in Honduras	21
Box 9	Mexico's Experience in Funding Natural Disaster Relief	24
Box 10	Public Accountability for Flood Protection in Bangladesh	24
Box 11	Reducing the Vulnerability of Women to Cyclones in Bangladesh	25
Box 12	Kiribati's Mainstreaming in National Planning Processes	26
Box 13	Mozambique's Action Plan for Poverty Reduction	27

List of Figures

Figure 1	Variations in the Earth's Surface Temperature, 1000–2100	1
Figure 2	Maize Production in Selected South African Countries versus Niño 3 Data	20
Figure 3	Potential Impacts of Temperature Increases on Tea Growing in Kenya	28

List of Tables

Table 1	Impacts of Climate Change, Vulnerability, and Adaptive Capacity	3
Table 2	Potential Impacts of Climate Change on the Millennium Development Goals	12

Acronyms and Abbreviations

GDP	Gross domestic product
GEF	Global Environment Facility
GHG	Greenhouse gas
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
LDCs	Least developed countries
LEG	Least Developed Countries Expert Group
MDGs	Millennium Development Goals
NAPA	National Adaptation Programme of Action
PRS	Poverty Reduction Strategies
PRSP	Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper
RCOF	Regional Climate Outlook Forum
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
VARG	Vulnerability and Adaptation Resource Group



Foreword

Climate change is a serious risk to poverty reduction and threatens to undo decades of development efforts. As the Johannesburg Declaration on Sustainable Development states, "the adverse effects of climate change are already evident, natural disasters are more frequent and more devastating and developing countries more vulnerable." While climate change is a global phenomenon, its negative impacts are more severely felt by poor people and poor countries. They are more vulnerable because of their high dependence on natural resources, and their limited capacity to cope with climate variability and extremes.

Experience suggests that the best way to address climate change impacts on the poor is by integrating adaptation responses into development planning. This is fundamental to achieve the Millennium Development Goals, including the over-arching goal of halving extreme poverty by 2015, and sustaining progress beyond 2015.

The objective of this document is to contribute to a global dialogue on how to mainstream and integrate adaptation to climate change into poverty reduction efforts. We hope this will move the discussion further towards action.

While this joint paper focuses on adaptation to climate change in relation to poverty, we understand that adaptation has to go hand in hand with mitigation of climate change by limiting greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. We also reaffirm that industrialized countries should take the lead in combating climate change and its adverse effects.

We share a commitment to assisting and working with poor people, partner governments, civil societies, and the private sector in coping with the vulnerability of the poor to climate change. We resolve to ensure that our own institutions support this commitment.

Agnes van Ardenne-van der Hoeven
Minister for Development Cooperation
The Netherlands

Hilary Benn
DFID Minister of State
United Kingdom

Mark Malloch Brown
Administrator
United Nations Development Programme

Tadao Chino
President
Asian Development Bank

Donald J. Johnston
Secretary-General
Organisation for Economic
Co-operation and Development

Omar Kabbaj
President,
African Development Bank Group

Poul Nielson
Commissioner for Development
and Humanitarian Aid
Chief Executive Officer for
EuropeAid Co-Operation Office
European Commission

Klaus Töpfer
Executive Director
United Nations Environment
Programme

Heidemarie Wiecek-Zeul
Minister
Federal Ministry for Economic Co-operation
and Development, Germany

Shengman Zhang
Managing Director
The World Bank



Acknowledgements

This document has been written by a team consisting of Piya Abeygunawardena (ADB); Yogesh Vyas (AfDB); Philipp Knill (BMZ); Tim Foy, Melissa Harrold, Paul Steele, and Thomas Tanner (DFID); Danielle Hirsch, Maresa Oosterman, and Jaap Rooimans (DGIS); Marc Debois and Maria Lamin (EC); Holger Liptow, Elisabeth Mausolf, and Roda Verheyen (GTZ on behalf of BMZ); Shardul Agrawala, Georg Caspary, and Remy Paris (OECD); Arun Kashyap (UNDP); Ravi Sharma (UNEP); and Ajay Mathur, Mahesh Sharma, and Frank Sperling (World Bank).

Frank Sperling (World Bank), as Managing Editor, synthesized the content of the report, based on the contributions of the agencies. Heather Budge-Reid provided editorial support.

The writing team benefited greatly from comments by colleagues within our agencies provided for the final document as well as the earlier consultation draft. These include Sujata Gupta, Pim Keskamp, and Rolf Zelius (ADB); Fenella Frost, Alicia Herbert, Julian Lob-Levyt, Helen O'Connor, and Julie Thomas (DFID); Diana Wilkens and Ken Wright (DEFRA); John Bazill, Juan Garay Amores, Anver Ghazi, Joachim Kreysa, Simon Le Grand, Jean-Paul Malin-greau, and Emmanuel Mersch (EC); Tom Jones and Michael Roeskau (OECD); Rebecca Carman, Pascal Girot, Richard Hosier, Khalid Husain, Selim Jehan, Bo Lim, Joseph Opio-Odon-go, Jyotsna Puri, Minoru Takada, and Alvaro Umaña (UNDP); Daya Bragante and Kristen Halsnaes (UNEP); Anna Ballance (UNEP-GRID Arendal); Margaret Arnold, Jeni Klugman, Kseniya Lovovsky, Panayotis Varangis, and Bob Watson (World Bank).

In addition, the following organizations provided their time and input: Henk van Schaik (Dialogue on Water and Climate); Eileen Shea (East-West Center, Climate Project Coordinator); Charlotte Howard and Anna McGillivray (ERM); Saleemul Huq (IIED); John Drexhage (IISD); Roberto Lenton, Maxx Dilley, and Shiv Someshwar (IRI); Balakrishna Pisupati and Brett Orlando (IUCN); Kees Dorland, Michiel van Drunen, Marcel Kok, and Peter van der Werff (IVM); Richard Klein (PIK); and Madeleen Helmer (Red Cross Climate Centre).

The consultation draft was presented at the Eighth Conference of Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change in New Delhi, 2002. Subsequently, the paper has been widely disseminated and an electronic consultation was held from November 15, 2002 to February 28, 2003. The authors are grateful for the large and constructive feedback received from non-governmental organizations, the private and public sector, and international organizations. These comments provided valuable perspectives and views and challenged us to revise the document in a manner that was both intellectually rigorous and sensitive to divergent opinions. We have attempted to accommodate the comments; however, the responsibility for the document remains with the ten organizations involved in the writing process.





Executive Summary

Poverty Reduction – the Challenge of the 21st Century

Despite international efforts, poverty has become more widespread in many countries in the last decade, making poverty reduction the core challenge for development in the 21st century. In the Millennium Declaration, 189 nations have resolved to halve extreme poverty by 2015 and all agencies involved in this paper are committed to contribute to this aim. However, climate change is a serious risk to poverty reduction and threatens to undo decades of development efforts.

This paper focuses on the impacts of climate change on poverty reduction efforts in the context of sustaining progress towards the Millennium Development Goals and beyond. It discusses ways of mainstreaming and integrating adaptation to climate change into poverty reduction and sustainable development efforts.

The chief messages emerging from this paper are:


- Climate change is happening and will increasingly affect the poor.
- Adaptation is necessary and there is a need to integrate responses to climate change and adaptation measures into strategies for poverty reduction to ensure sustainable development.

This decision to focus on adaptation is deliberate and is taken with the understanding that adaptation cannot replace mitigation efforts. The magnitude and rate of climate change will strongly depend on efforts to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) concentrations in the atmosphere. The higher the concentrations of GHGs, the higher the likelihood of irreversible and grave damage to human and biological systems. Therefore, adaptation is only one part of the solution. Mitigation of climate change by limiting greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere is the indispensable other part.

Climate Change is Happening and Will Increasingly Affect the Poor

Today, it is widely agreed by the scientific community that climate change is already a reality. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has concluded that human activities are altering our climate system and will continue to do so. Over the past century, surface temperatures have increased and associated impacts on physical and biological systems are increasingly being observed. Science tells us that climate change will bring about gradual changes, such as sea level rise, and shifts of climatic zones due to increased temperatures and changes in precipitation patterns. Also, climate change is very likely to increase the frequency and magnitude of extreme weather events such as droughts, floods, and storms. While there is uncertainty in the projections with regard to the exact magnitude, rate, and regional patterns of climate change, its consequences will change the fate of many generations to come and particularly impact on the poor if no appropriate measures are taken.

The impacts of climate change, and the vulnerability of poor communities to climate change, vary greatly, but generally, climate change is superimposed on existing vulnerabilities. Climate change will further reduce access to drinking water, negatively affect the health of poor people, and will pose a real threat to food security in many countries in Africa, Asia, and Latin America. In some areas where livelihood choices are limited, decreasing crop yields threaten famines, or where loss of landmass in coastal areas is anticipated, migration might be the only solution. The macroeconomic costs of the impacts of climate change are highly uncertain, but very likely have the potential to threaten development in many countries.



Therefore, the task ahead is to increase the adaptive capacity of affected poor communities and countries.

Part 1 of this document examines how climate change is likely to affect the existing vulnerability of poor people to climate related impacts. According to the Third Assessment Report of the IPCC, developing countries are expected to suffer the most from the negative impacts of climate change. This is due to the economic importance of climate-sensitive sectors (for example, agriculture and fisheries) for these countries, and to their limited human, institutional, and financial capacity to anticipate and respond to the direct and indirect effects of climate change. In general, the vulnerability is highest for least developed countries in the

tropical and subtropical areas. Hence, the countries with the fewest resources are likely to bear the greatest burden of climate change in terms of loss of life and relative effect on investment and the economy.

Many sectors providing basic livelihood services to the poor in developing countries are not able to cope even with today's climate variability and stresses. Over 96% of disaster-related deaths in recent years have taken place in developing countries. Often, extreme weather events set back the development process for decades. With fishing grounds depleting, and droughts, floods, and storms destroying entire annual harvests in affected areas, the El Niño phenomenon serves as a prime example of how climatic variability already affects vulnerable countries and people today. In many developing countries, climate change already increases stresses from climate variability and extremes and will do so increasingly in the future.

Adaptation is Necessary

In the view of the participating agencies, adaptation to climate change is a priority for ensuring the long-term effectiveness of our investment in poverty eradication and sustainable development.

Part 2 examines lessons learned in reducing poverty while strengthening the capacity of those living in poverty to adapt to climate change. The findings support a conclusion of the IPCC that adaptation measures, if pursued in the sustainable development framework, can diminish the damage from future climate change and climate variability.

Through the decisions of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), work has been initiated to develop the adaptive capacity of poor people and the poorer countries (Least Developed Countries) to cope with the impacts of climate change. Yet, a stronger focus must be placed on poverty reduction and sustainable development. We believe that the development and environment community must ensure that adaptation is not treated as a standalone issue, but in the context of poverty reduction and the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs).

Many examples show that addressing poverty implies also preparing for climate variability and extremes. While climate change is only one of the many factors influencing poverty, immediate action should be taken to adapt to climate change impacts. We argue that many possible interventions have already been identified, and prompt action can be taken today.

Our combined experience suggests that the best way to address climate change impacts on the poor is by integrating adaptation measures into sustainable development and poverty reduction strategies. Only such a comprehensive approach, which provides options for poor people to reduce their vulnerability to current and future risks, will contribute towards achieving the MDGs and ensure that sustainable progress is made beyond 2015.

Strengthening Adaptation Efforts

Many adaptation mechanisms will be strengthened by making progress in areas such as good governance, human resources, institutional structures, public finance, and natural resource management. Such progress builds the resilience of countries, communities, and households to all types of shocks, including climate change impacts. Strategies to cope with current climate variability provide a good starting point for addressing adaptation needs in the context of poverty reduction. Learning from experience will help to prevent the underachievement of sustainable development efforts and avoid maladaptation.

Progress will require:

Improved governance, including an active civil society and open, transparent, and accountable policy and decision making processes, which can have a critical bearing on the way in which policies and institutions respond to the impact of climatic factors on the poor.

First steps towards mainstreaming climate issues into all national, sub-national, and sectoral planning processes, such as Poverty Reduction Strategies (PRS) or national strategies for sustainable development.

Encouraging a ministry with a broad mandate, such as planning or finance, to be fully involved in mainstreaming adaptation, especially in countries where major climate impacts are expected.

Combining approaches at the government and institutional level with bottom-up approaches rooted in regional, national, and local knowledge.

Empowerment of communities so that they can participate in assessments and feed in their knowledge to provide useful climate-poverty information. They will also need full access to climate relevant information systems.

Vulnerability assessments that fully address the different shades and causes of poverty.

Access to good quality information about the impacts of climate change. This is key for effective poverty reduction strategies. Early warning systems and information distribution systems help to anticipate and prevent disasters.

Integration of impacts into macroeconomic projections. The rate and pattern of economic growth is a critical element of poverty eradication, and climatic factors can have a powerful bearing on both. Integration will prevent climate change diverting limited resources into disaster relief and recovery activities and away from long-term development priorities. The national budget process should be the key process to identify climate change risks and to incorporate risk management so as to provide sufficient flexibility in the face of uncertainty.

Increasing the resilience of livelihoods and infrastructure as a key component of an effective poverty reduction strategy. Similarly, effective adaptation strategies should build upon, and sustain, existing livelihoods and thus take into account existing knowledge and coping strategies of the poor. Traditional risk sharing mechanisms, such as asset pooling and kinship, could be complemented by micro-insurance approaches, and infrastructure design and investment, both for private and public use, should take into account the potential impacts of climate change.

Next Steps

Part 3 makes specific recommendations for action in the areas of:

- Development agency and donor activities.
- Governments in developing countries.
- Strengthening information and assessments.
- Engagement of the UNFCCC process.
- Ensuring synergies with other multilateral agreements.
- Funding adaptation.

Development and environment agencies need to ensure that their efforts support the mainstreaming of climate issues into general sustainable development. This requires a sector-wide examination of existing programs as well as: a close look at existing disaster reduction and preparedness programs to make maximum use of their ability to assess and reduce current vulnerabilities; the development of tools and methodologies for planning in the face of risk; training and awareness raising of senior management and staff; and the improvement of institutional processes to address the vulnerability of the poor in development programs. Furthermore, checks must be built in to avoid any development activity that undermines the capacity of the poor to cope with climate variability and change.

The UN Conventions on Climate, Biodiversity, and Desertification all provide opportunities for sustainable development and implementation of measures should be integrated in poverty reduction strategies. However, many developing countries are stretched by the need to service all these international processes, leaving little time for them to engage in domestic implementation and determining national environmental priorities. This conflict can be reduced by, for example, maximizing synergies in reporting and other requirements and by integrating implementation measures into general development strategies.

Reducing the vulnerability of those most at risk from the impacts of climate change and the process of mainstreaming adaptation into poverty reduction will require, in many cases, substantial external financial resources. These resources would need to be provided through a number of channels, which would include: bilateral, multilateral, and non-governmental development assistance; the new funds created by the UNFCCC; and the Global Environment Facility (GEF) as the financial mechanism of the UNFCCC.

Development assistance should aim to reorient current practices and remove barriers to “no regrets” adaptation interventions through the integration of climate risk management in development programs. This would also help to mainstream adaptation in national development planning and budgetary processes. Additional resources are required to assess and address climate risks in projects supported by development assistance, where climate-safe development implies extra costs over and above business-as-usual. Funding by the GEF and the new climate change funds further supports interventions that help to prepare for climate change adaptation, and help demonstrate adaptation interventions. The nature and scope of this latter support is dependent on the evolving guidance from the UNFCCC, but we do have to act now.

PART 1: Climate Change and the Poor

Currently over 1 billion people – two thirds of them women – live in extreme poverty on less than US\$1 a day. This figure rises to 2.8 billion if a standard of US\$2 a day is used (OECD 2001).

Climate change will compound existing poverty. Its adverse impacts will be most striking in the developing nations because of their geographical and climatic conditions, their high dependence on natural resources, and their limited capacity to adapt to a changing climate. Within these countries, the poorest, who have the least resources and the least capacity to adapt, are the most vulnerable (IPCC 2001a). Projected changes in the incidence, frequency, intensity, and duration of climate extremes (for example, heat waves, heavy precipitation, and drought), as well as more gradual changes in the average climate, will notably threaten their livelihoods – further increasing inequities between the developing and developed worlds. Climate change is therefore a serious threat to poverty eradication. However, current development strategies tend to overlook climate change risks.

An approach that uses both mitigation and adaptation is needed. Current commitments to mitigate climate change by limiting the emissions of greenhouse gases (GHGs) will not, even if implemented, stabilize the atmospheric concentrations of these gases¹. Developing adaptive capacity to minimize the damage to livelihoods from climate change is a necessary strategy to complement climate change mitigation efforts.


Climate change adaptation – all those responses to climatic conditions that reduce vulnerability – is therefore an integral and urgent part of overall poverty reduction strategies. Adaptation should not be approached as a separate activity, isolated from other environmental and socioeconomic concerns that also impact on the development opportunities of the poor. A compre-

hensive approach is needed that takes into account potential synergistic and antagonistic effects between local and global environmental changes as well as socioeconomic factors.

1.1 Climate Change is a Reality

Today, it is widely agreed by the scientific community that climate change is already a reality. The rate and duration of warming observed during the twentieth century are unprecedented in the past thousand years. Increases in maximum temperatures, numbers of hot days, and the heat index have been observed over nearly all lands during the second half of the twentieth century. Collective evidence suggests that the observed warming over the past fifty years can be mostly attributed to human activities. The warming trend in the global average

Figure 1
Variations in the Earth's Surface Temperature, 1000–2100.
Source: IPCC 2001 a.



surface temperature is expected to continue, with increases projected to be in the range of 1.4 to 5.8 °C by 2100 in comparison to 1990 (IPCC 2001a).

There is increasing observational evidence that regional changes in climate have contributed to various changes in physical and biological systems in many parts of the world (IPCC 2001a; 2001b). These include the shrinkage of glaciers, thawing of permafrost, changes in rainfall frequency and intensity, shifts in the growing season, early flowering of trees and emergence of insects, and shifts in the distribution ranges of plants and animals in response to changes in climatic conditions.

On the regional level, climate change is superimposed on the existing climatic conditions and manifests itself through:

- Changes in average climatic conditions. For example, some regions may become drier or wetter on average (IPCC 2001a).
- Changes in climate variability. For example, rainfall events may become more erratic in some regions.
- Changes in the frequency and magnitude of extreme events (IPCC 2001a; 2001b).
- Changes in sea levels, which are projected to rise by between 0.09 and 0.88 meters by 2100 relative to 1990 (IPCC 2001a).



Table 1
Impacts of Climate Change, Vulnerability, and Adaptive Capacity
 Source: Adapted from IPCC 2001 b.

Region	Likely Regional Impacts of Climate Change	Vulnerability and Adaptive Capacity
Africa	Increase in droughts, floods, and other extreme events would add to stress on water resources, food security, human health, and infrastructure, constraining development. Changes in rainfall and intensified land use would exacerbate the desertification process (particularly in the Western Sahel and Northern and Southern Africa). Grain yields are projected to decrease, diminishing food security, particularly in small food-importing countries. Sea level rise would affect coastal settlements, flooding and coastal erosion, especially along the eastern Southern African coast. Major rivers are highly sensitive to climate variations and may experience decreases in run-off and water availability, affecting agriculture and hydropower systems, which may increase cross-boundary tensions. Increase in frequency of some extreme events in some places.	Adaptive capacity is low due to low GDP per capita, widespread poverty (the number of poor grew over the 1990s), inequitable land distribution, and low education levels. There is also an absence of social safety nets, in particular after harvest failures. Individual coping strategies for desertification are already strained, leading to deepening poverty. Dependence on rain-fed agriculture is high. More than one quarter of the population lives within 100 kilometers of the coast and most of Africa's largest cities are along coasts vulnerable to sea level rise, coastal erosion, and extreme events. Climate change has to be recognized as a major concern with respect to food security, water resources, natural resources productivity and biodiversity, human health, desertification, and coastal zones. Adaptive capacity will depend on the degree of civil order, political openness, and sound economic management.
Asia	Extreme events have increased in temperate Asia, including floods, droughts, forest fires, and tropical cyclones. Thermal and water stress, flood, drought, sea level rise, and tropical cyclones would diminish food security in countries of arid, tropical, and temperate Asia. Agriculture would expand and increase in productivity in northern areas. Reduced soil moisture in the summer may increase land degradation and desertification. Sea level rise and an increase in intensity of tropical cyclones would displace tens of millions of people in low-lying coastal areas of temperate and tropical Asia.	Adaptive capacity varies between countries depending on social structure, culture, economic capacity, and level of environmental degradation. Areas of concern include water and agriculture sectors, water resources, food security, biodiversity conservation and natural resource management, coastal zone management, and infrastructure. Capacity is increasing in some parts of Asia, for example the success of early warning systems for extreme weather events in Bangladesh, but is still constrained due to poor resource bases, inequalities in income, weak institutions, and limited technology.

Region	Likely Regional Impacts of Climate Change	Vulnerability and Adaptive Capacity
Latin America	Loss and retreat of glaciers would adversely impact runoff and water supply in areas where snowmelt is an important water resource. Floods and droughts would increase in frequency, and lead to poorer water quality in some areas. Increases in the intensity of tropical cyclones would change the risks to life, property, and ecosystems from heavy rain, flooding, storm surges, and wind damages. Coastal human settlements, productive activities, infrastructure, and mangrove ecosystems would be negatively affected by sea level rise.	Some social indicators have improved over the 1990s including adult literacy, life expectancy, and access to safe water. However, other factors such as high infant mortality, low secondary school enrolment, and high-income inequality contribute to limiting adaptive capacity. Areas of particular concern are agriculture, fisheries, water resource management, infrastructure, and health.
Small Island States	The projected sea level rise of 5 millimeters per year for the next 100 years would cause enhanced soil erosion, loss of land, poverty, displacement of people, increased risk from storm surges, reduced resilience of coastal ecosystems, saltwater intrusion into freshwater resources, and high resource costs to respond to and adapt to changes. Coral reefs would be negatively affected by bleaching and by reduced calcification rates due to higher CO₂ levels; mangrove, sea grass bed, and other coastal ecosystems and the associated biodiversity would be adversely affected by rising temperatures and accelerated sea level rise.	Adaptive capacity of human systems is generally low in small island states, and vulnerability high; small island states are likely to be among the countries most seriously impacted by climate change. Areas of concern are food security, water resources, agriculture, biodiversity and coastal management, and tourism. Islands with very limited water supplies are highly vulnerable to the impacts of climate change on the water balance. Declines in coastal ecosystems would negatively impact reef fish and threaten reef fisheries, those who earn their livelihoods from reef fisheries, and those who rely on the fisheries as a significant food source. Limited arable land and soil salinization make agriculture of small islands, both for domestic food production and cash crop exports, highly vulnerable to climate change. Tourism, an important source of income and foreign exchange for many islands, would face severe disruption from climate change and sea level rise.

1.2 Developing Countries Will Be Particularly Affected

The impacts of climate change vary across geographical regions (IPCC 2001b). (See Table 1).

Some of the anticipated impacts of climate change are positive (see IPCC 2001b). For example, water-scarce regions such as parts of Southeast Asia may benefit from increased water availability. However, developing countries are likely to suffer most from the negative impacts of climate change (IPCC 2001b). This is due to the economic importance of climate-sensitive sectors (for example, agriculture and fisheries) for these countries, and to their limited human, institutional, and financial capacity to anticipate and respond to the direct and indirect effects of climate change. In general, the vulnerability is highest for least developed countries (LDCs) in the tropical and subtropical areas. Hence, the countries with the fewest resources are likely to bear the greatest burden of climate change in terms of loss of life and relative effect on investment and the economy (IPCC 2001b).

1.3 Adaptation is a Necessity

The extent and scope of regional climate change impacts depend on the degree of mitigation. While the urgency and scale of adaptation efforts required will be lower if aggressive mitigation is undertaken early on, some degree of adaptation is inevitable².

Reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases would delay and reduce damages caused by climate change (IPCC 2001c). Essentially, the lower the future stabilization level of atmospheric greenhouse gas concentration, the less would be the likely damage³. The UNFCCC states that: "the parties should protect the climate system for the benefit of present and future generations of humankind, on the basis of equity and in accordance with their common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities. Accordingly, the developed country Parties should take the lead in combating climate change and the adverse effects thereof." (Article 3.1 of the UNFCCC).

Even if greenhouse gas emissions were curbed immediately, the global average temperature would still con-

tinue to rise due to the slow response of the Earth's atmosphere system to past emissions. This suggests that any future levels of greenhouse gas concentration, once stabilized, will be above current levels.

1.4 Existing Vulnerability to Climate Variability

'Three years ago it was a very bad year. The flood washed away all of our crops, and there was a lot of hunger around here, to the point that many people actually died of hunger,' Benin 1994.

(Narayan et al. 2000)

Climate change is a very emotional subject for the Philippines because the issue is viewed not only as causing additional economic burdens, but as a critical factor that would determine its survival as a nation. Many of its people are in coastal areas and at risk from the impacts of extreme climatic events, sea level rise and degradation of marine ecosystems. The effects of climate change on agriculture, forestry and water resources will further encumber a country already reeling from a host of socio-economic and environmental problems.

(Philippines 1999)

Recent catastrophes ... have shown that the poor are much more likely to be adversely affected than the non-poor. Because of the inadequate construction, poor people's dwellings are particularly vulnerable; and when affected have insufficient savings to address the emergencies.

(Nicaragua 2001)



Before addressing climate change adaptation in the context of development, it is important to recognize that today's climate already influences economic opportunities and development prospects. Poor countries and people tend to be particularly vulnerable to deviations from average climatic conditions and climatic extremes (OFDA/CRED; UNDP 2003b).

Climate and climate variability are therefore important elements of the complex web of factors influencing people's livelihoods. When comparing data on natural hazards in developing and developed countries, the loss of life and the number of people affected tend to be considerably larger in developing country regions for natural disasters of comparable magnitude. Damages in relation to GDP are usually also higher.

Bangladesh is a prime example of a country that is particularly vulnerable to today's climate. With a low-lying coastline, high population density, and an economy highly dependent on agriculture, the lives and livelihoods of people are threatened by frequent cyclones and the associated effects, such as saltwater intrusion, that render agricultural lands unproductive. Between 1974 and 1998, the country experienced seven major floods (Matin 1998). In 1998, about 68 percent of the country's geographical area was flooded, affecting more than 30 million people and causing 918 fatalities (Choudhury 1998). Economic losses were estimated at US\$3.3 billion, equivalent to 8 percent of the country's GDP (Choudhury et al. 1999).

The impact of climate variability on countries is also well illustrated by the environmental and socioeconomic damages associated with El Niño. El Niño is a natural recurring climatic phenomenon associated with fluctuations in the atmospheric pressure and sea surface temperatures in the tropical Pacific Ocean. It affects the climate on a global scale, with the impacts concentrated in the tropical and subtropical regions. The shift in sea surface temperature is known to affect marine productivity. On land, El Niño is associated with floods and droughts in Latin America, Asia, and Africa, as well as changes in extreme events and the distribution of vector-borne diseases (IPCC 2001b). El Niño has caused loss of life, destroyed livelihoods, and affected national economies. For Ecuador, the overall costs of direct damages to agriculture, livestock and fishing associated with the

1997–98 El Niño constituted 4.7 % of its agricultural GDP. Loss of harvest and rising unemployment of agricultural workers led to an increase in the incidence of poverty by 10 percentage points in the affected municipalities (Vos et al. 1999).

Between 1970 and 1999 about 3.76 billion people were affected by natural disasters in Asia, explained in a large part by Asia's high population density in hazard prone areas. Africa had the second highest number of people affected by natural disasters, largely due to frequent occurrence and the long-term effects of droughts and the importance of the agricultural sector. For the regions of Latin America and the Caribbean, floods had the highest cumulative cost, followed by windstorms, earthquakes, and droughts (Charveriat 2000).

1.5 Already Stressed Coping Capacities

All societies and economies have developed mechanisms to cope with climate extremes and other natural hazards, which they face occasionally. Trade, migration, or precautionary storage of food are examples of strategies to cope with adverse climatic conditions.

This capacity to cope with climate variability and extreme weather events in itself is highly dependent on the level of economic development. In general, livelihood sources of the poor are usually narrower and more climate-sensitive than those of the non-poor. Extreme weather events, which would cause limited damage and few casualties in a developed country, often cause extensive damage and substantial loss of life in a developing country. Poor people are particularly vulnerable to deviations from average climatic conditions such as prolonged drought and to natural disasters such as floods. In periods of stress they may be forced to sell off their physical assets such as land, bicycles, and farming implements, thereby undermining the sustainability of their livelihoods over the longer term.

Among the poor, vulnerability varies, since some groups are more lacking in the financial, social, and political means of securing alternative livelihoods less exposed to risk than others. Women for example may be constrained by social and cultural structures that place them in inferior social positions, limiting their access to income, education, public voice, and survival mechanisms. In addition, the coping capacities of the poor are often




already strained due to a number of trends including HIV/AIDS, increasing population densities, and detrimental forces associated with globalization. Climate change will add to these trends and increase vulnerabilities.

1.6 Climate Change Compounding Existing Risks and Vulnerabilities

Traditional coping mechanisms are backward-looking, based on historical experience and observations. In the face of changing patterns of climate variability, and significant deviations from historical experience, their effectiveness may be significantly reduced. For example, in Tanzania, high rainfall due to the 1998 El Niño was followed by a two-year period of erratic rainfall. This climatic shock caused some of the poorer farmers to give up maize farming and opt instead to sell their labor at farms in other, more productive areas. The resulting dependence on physical working capacity as their sole endowment increased vulnerability, since malnutrition and disease can reduce their capacities for manual labor.

Since the mid-1970s El Niño events have become more frequent, persistent and intense than the opposite cool phase (IPCC 2001a). Whether this is already the result of climate change is the subject of ongoing scientific debate. However, such deviations from normal climatic conditions and previous experience illustrate the additional strain climate change is likely to exert on the poor, if no appropriate adaptation measures are taken. The poor will need to devote more of their already limited resources to coping with adverse climatic conditions.

Climate change may thus force drastic changes to livelihood strategies. Where economic diversification is low, income opportunities and hence options for developing alternative livelihoods in response to climatic changes may be limited. In some cases migration, which is an important coping strategy for poor people, might be the only solution, but will potentially cause social disruption.



The impacts of climate change on the poor will be context-specific, reflecting factors such as geographic location; economic, social, and cultural characteristics; prioritization and concerns of individuals, households, and social groups; as well as institutional and political constraints. The following points illustrate the impacts of climate change on poor people's livelihoods.

Ecosystem Goods and Services

The degree of local environmental degradation will influence the vulnerability of an ecosystem to climate change. Habitat fragmentation is already a leading cause of biodiversity loss and changes in temperature and moisture regimes further limit habitats necessary for the survival of species. Degradation of forested mountain slopes in conjunction with intensified rainfall may increase erosion and loss of fertile soil and affect the quality of watersheds. Climate change is likely to lead to changes in species distribution and abundance, and increase the risk of extinction and loss of biodiversity (IPCC 2001b).

Since some ecosystems are highly sensitive, even small changes can have large effects. Minor increases in water temperature can, for example, damage coral reefs, exacerbating other stresses such as pollution and over-fishing and thereby cause a reduction in fish stocks, jeopardizing fish- and tourism-dependent livelihoods.

Poor people are often directly dependent on goods and services from ecosystems, either as a primary or supplementary source of food, fodder, building materials, and fuel. This makes them highly vulnerable to ecosystem degradation. While local economic and social conditions drive poor people into marginal areas and force them to exploit natural resources to support their livelihoods, climate change further erodes the quality of the natural resource base, thereby reinforcing conditions of poverty.

Changes in ecosystem composition and provision of goods and services may also have wider economic effects. Essential ecosystem services include breaking down wastes and pollutants, purifying water, and maintaining soil fertility. Climate change will alter the quality and functioning of ecosystems, reducing their capacity to perform their role as important life support systems. This will have important impacts on key economic sectors such as agriculture, water supply, and others.

Water

Water scarcity is already a major problem for the world's poor. The number of people impacted by water scarcity is projected to increase from about 1.7 billion people today to around 5 billion people by 2025, independent of climate change (IPCC 2001b). Climate change is projected to further reduce water availability in many water scarce regions, particularly in the subtropics, due to increased frequency of droughts, increased evaporation, and changes in rainfall patterns and run-off.

Precipitation is expected to increase in equatorial, middle, and high latitude regions (IPCC 2001b), which tend to suffer less from water scarcity. As rainfall events are expected to become more intense, the incidence of floods may increase, jeopardizing human settlements and infrastructure.

Increases in temperature and changes in precipitation are projected to accelerate the retreat and loss of glaciers (IPCC 2001a; 2001b). Associated changes in the timing of streamflow will have downstream effects for agriculture. The melting of glaciers has become a serious concern in the Himalayan region, because of the growing risk of glacial lake out-burst floods (UNEP/ICIMOD 2002; Bhutan 2000).

Agriculture and Food Security

Agriculture is the most important sector for most least developed countries as the impact of agricultural growth on poverty reduction tends to exceed the impact of growth in other sectors (ODI 2002). Food security is a function of several interacting factors, including food production as well as food purchasing power. Climate change could worsen the prevalence of hunger through direct negative effects on production and indirect impacts on purchasing powers.

Land degradation, price shocks, and population growth are already a major concern for sustaining agricultural productivity. Changes in temperature, precipitation, and climatic extremes will add to the stress on agricultural resources in many developing country regions and reduce the quality of land areas for agricultural production. This will be particularly serious for areas where droughts and land degradation, including desertification, are already severe. As access to productive land is important for reducing rural poverty, the impacts of climate change on the productivity of land will further constrain efforts to combat rural poverty.

Low-lying coastal communities will have to deal with sea level rise and the impact of climate change on marine resources. Sea level rise may lead to salinization and render agriculture areas unproductive. In areas where fish constitute a significant source of protein for poor people, declining and migration of fish stocks due to climate change and associated changes in the marine environment will further need to



be considered in their impact on the local food security.

The impact of climate change on food supply varies significantly by region. In general, crop yields are projected to decrease in most tropical and subtropical regions due to changes in temperature and rainfall (IPCC 2001b). Consequently, there is a real risk that climate change will worsen food security and exacerbate hunger in some developing-country regions. In the short term, however, the greater impact on food security could come from the projected increases and severity of extreme weather events rather than from gradual changes in the climate (FAO 2002).

The impact of climate change on food security will be a major concern for Africa. In conjunction with the previously discussed changes in water supply, the production losses for Sub-Saharan countries could be substantial as the length of suitable growing periods decreases. Livestock activities and crop yields for many countries in Asia and Latin America are also projected to decrease.

Health

The potential impacts of climate change on human health would increase vulnerability and reduce opportunities by interfering with education and the ability to work. While any attempt at predicting and gauging the impact of climate change on human health is a complicated task, it is likely that climate change will have both direct and indirect adverse effects on human health.

A direct effect is an increase in temperature-related illnesses and deaths. Prolonged intense heat waves coupled with humidity may increase mortality and morbidity rates, particularly among the urban poor and the elderly. Another direct effect will be increased death and injury from extreme weather events such as flooding, landslides, and storms – over 96 percent of disaster-related deaths in recent years have taken place in developing countries (World Bank 2001).

Changes in temperature and rainfall may change the geographic range of vector-borne diseases such as malaria and dengue fever, exposing new populations to these diseases (see Box 1). Young children as well as pregnant women and their unborn children are especially vulnerable to malaria. Malaria contributes to perinatal mortality, low birth weight,



and maternal anemia (WHO 2002). The frequency and severity of malaria epidemics in East Africa already appear to have increased in correspondence with the increased frequency, magnitude, and persistence of the El Niño phenomenon over the past 20 to 30 years (McMichael et al. 1996).

Box 1

Climate Change Impacts on Malaria

Modeling based on IPCC (2001b) scenarios suggests that temperature rise by 2100 could lead to significant increases in potential breeding grounds for malaria in parts of Brazil, Southern Africa, and the Horn of Africa. In a few areas – such as parts of Namibia and the West African Sahel – malaria risk may fall due to excessive heat. In Africa, cities that currently are not at risk of malaria because of their high altitudes, such as Nairobi and Harare, may be newly at risk if the range in which the mosquito can live and breed increases.

Source: Gallup and Sachs 2000.

The net effect of climate change on malaria infections is still uncertain, and the impacts will vary

from region to region. Nevertheless, the close link of the occurrence of malaria and other vector-borne diseases with climatic parameters and the potential changes in the distribution ranges of such diseases warrant responsive health institutions, precautionary action, and monitoring.

Climate change-induced droughts, flooding and other extreme weather events degrade and reduce potable water supplies and increase water-associated diseases such as cholera and diarrhea, particularly in areas with inadequate sanitary infrastructures. Inadequate access to safe drinking water and sanitation, combined with poor hygiene practices, are major causes of ill health and life-threatening disease in developing countries. At present, these diseases already kill an estimated 2.213 million people per year in developing countries, of which about 90 percent are children under the age of five (Prüss et al. 2002). Women are particularly exposed to water-associated diseases through their traditional chores of washing and water collection.

Involuntary Displacement, Migration, and Conflicts

The direct and indirect effects of climate change and their interaction with other vulnerabilities and environmental exposures may lead to mass migrations, as crucial resources become degraded and livelihoods are threatened.

Loss of land mass in coastal areas due to sea level rise is, for example, likely to lead to greater permanent or semi-permanent displacement of populations, which may have considerable economic and political ramifications. Areas most vulnerable to sea level rise lie in the tropics: the west coast of Africa; the north and eastern coast of South America; South and Southeast Asia; and small island states in the Caribbean, Pacific and Indian Oceans (IPCC 2001a). Of the world's 19 mega-cities (those with over 10 million people), 16 are on coastlines and all but 4 are in the developing world. The poor living in Asian mega-cities are particularly at risk, as sea level rise compounds subsidence caused by excessive groundwater extraction in Manila, Bangkok, Shanghai, Dhaka, and Jakarta.

To this should be added the risk for potential conflicts, including social unrest, political instability, and wars over decreasing water or other natural resources and possible mass migration due to, for example, land loss or degradation and extreme

weather events. Such conflicts may have considerable costs both in macroeconomic terms and in human suffering.

Economy-Wide Effects

Climate change is expected to have effects on the overall economy of poor countries, thus hampering potential for economic growth. In addition, poor adaptation (see glossary) will increase the impacts of extreme events, increasing the costs of rehabilitation and diverting funds from longer-term development purposes.

Current extreme weather events are already taking their toll on developing countries' economies, leading to loss of human and economic capital. Regions where climate change exacerbates climatic extremes and which have limited adaptive capacity will be further constrained in their development prospects due to additional loss of life, private assets, reduced productivity of important economic sectors, and destruction of infrastructure ⁴.

This is particularly true for small countries and countries with low economic diversity, where the impact of climatic extremes cannot be well absorbed by economic activity in other regions or sectors (Box 2).

Box 2

Impacts of Climate Change on Small Island States: The Pacific

The Pacific Islands are becoming increasingly vulnerable to extreme weather events as growing urbanization and squatter settlements, degradation of coastal ecosystems, and rapidly developing infrastructure on coastal areas intensify the islands' natural exposure to climate events. In the 1990s alone, the cost of cyclones and typhoons exceeded US\$800 million, while the 1997 drought cost upwards of US\$175 million even before nutrition-related deficiencies were taken into account. During the 1997–98 drought in Fiji US\$18 million in food and water rations had to be distributed.

Source: IPCC 2001b; IFRC-RCS 2002; World Bank 2000.

Even though both people and systems appear to be generally more vulnerable to sudden disruptive changes than gradual ones, long-term climate change can be just as harmful. Changes in average climatic conditions, as well as extremes, and loss of productive areas due to sea level rise, have both been highlighted in their projected impacts on the agricultural sector. Countries where tourism represents a major source of income may be affected by a decrease in revenues due to the effects of both gradual climatic changes and extreme weather events. Such events are likely to alter the attractiveness of certain holiday destinations, for example coral reef mortality is expected to reduce income opportunities for local populations in some regions. All these factors can affect GDP, balance of payments, level of indebtedness, state of public finances, and may divert investments from important development objectives.

1.7 Implications for Poverty Eradication


Part 1 has so far illustrated that even though climate change is a global threat, it is also very much a problem for development, since poorer countries, having the least adaptive capacity and hence the most vulnerable populations, are expected to suffer the greatest adverse effects. This is because many of the world's poor are found in geographically vulnerable places, and live under vulnerable environmental, socioeconomic, institutional, and political conditions.

Climate change provides an additional threat that adds to, interacts with, and can reinforce existing risks, placing additional strains on the livelihoods and coping strategies of the poor. In 2000, leaders of 189 nations agreed on the Millennium Declaration that outlined eight fundamental goals. Climate change challenges the achievement of the



Table 2
Potential Impacts of Climate Change on the Millennium Development Goals

Millennium Development Goals: Climate Change as a Cross-Cutting Issue	
Millennium Development Goal	Examples of Links with Climate Change
Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger (Goal 1)	● Climate change is projected to reduce poor people's livelihood assets, for example, health, access to water, homes, and infrastructure. ● Climate change is expected to alter the path and rate of economic growth due to changes in natural systems and resources, infrastructure, and labor productivity. A reduction in economic growth directly impacts poverty through reduced income opportunities. ● Climate change is projected to alter regional food security. In particular in Africa, food security is expected to worsen.
Health related goals: ● Combat major diseases ● Reduce infant mortality ● Improve maternal health (Goals 4, 5 & 6)	● Direct effects of climate change include increases in heat-related mortality and illness associated with heat waves (which may be balanced by less winter cold-related deaths in some regions). ● Climate change may increase the prevalence of some vector-borne diseases (for example malaria and dengue fever), and vulnerability to water, food, or person-to-person borne diseases (for example cholera and dysentery). ● Children and pregnant women are particularly susceptible to vector and water-borne diseases. Anemia – resulting from malaria – is responsible for a quarter of maternal mortality. ● Climate change will likely result in declining quantity and quality of drinking water, which is a prerequisite for good health, and exacerbate malnutrition – an important source of ill health among children – by reducing natural resource productivity and threatening food security, particularly in Sub-Saharan Africa.
Achieve universal primary education (Goal 2)	● Links to climate change are less direct, but loss of livelihood assets (social, natural, physical, human, and financial capital) may reduce opportunities for full-time education in numerous ways. Natural disasters and drought reduce children's available time (which may be diverted to household tasks), while displacement and migration can reduce access to education opportunities.
Promote gender equality and empower women (Goal 3)	● Climate change is expected to exacerbate current gender inequalities. Depletion of natural resources and decreasing agricultural productivity may place additional burdens on women's health and reduce time available to participate in decision making processes and income generating activities. ● Climate related disasters have been found to impact more severely on female-headed households, particularly where they have fewer assets to start with.
Ensure environmental sustainability (Goal 7)	● Climate change will alter the quality and productivity of natural resources and ecosystems, some of which may be irreversibly damaged, and these changes may also decrease biological diversity and compound existing environmental degradation.
Global partnerships	● Global climate change is a global issue and response requires global cooperation, especially to help developing countries to adapt to the adverse impacts of climate change.



Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) and related national poverty eradication and sustainable development objectives. Unless concrete and urgent steps are undertaken to reduce vulnerability and enhance adaptive capacity of poor people, and unless these actions are integrated in national strategies for poverty eradication and sustainable development, it may be difficult to meet some MDGs by 2015 (Table 2).

Strategies to strengthen capacity to cope with current climate variability and extremes and to adapt to expected future climatic conditions are mutually supportive and will have immediate benefits. They will also help identify and take advantage of the positive impacts of climate change.

There is much experience to date of coping with climate variability and disasters from which useful lessons for adaptation can be drawn. Ensuring that the poor are able to adapt to current and imminent climate variability is the first step. The task ahead for the development community is to enhance the

adaptive capacity of the poor and poor countries and to help to implement specific actions for addressing climate change impacts. With this in mind, Part 2 discusses lessons learned from past experience with coping with climate variability.





Part 2: Adaptation Lessons from Past Experience

Adaptation is successful if it reduces the vulnerability of poor countries and poor people to existing climate variability, while also building in the potential to anticipate and react to further changes in climate in the future. The evidence from past experience suggests that this is best achieved through mainstreaming and integrating climate responses into development and poverty eradication processes, rather than by identifying and treating them separately.

In this document, *mainstreaming* is used to describe the consideration of climate issues in decision making processes such as planning and budgeting. *Integration* is used when specific adaptation measures are added to design and implementation strategies. Thus, integration occurs in instances where adaptation is deemed to be a priority in order to effectively achieve development goals.

The rationale for integrating adaptation in development strategies and practices is underlined by the fact that many of the interventions required to increase resilience to climatic changes generally benefit development objectives. Adaptation requires the development of human capital, strengthening of institutional systems, and sound management of public finances and natural resources (Adger et al. 2003). Such processes build the resilience of countries, communities, and households to all shocks and stresses, including climate variability and change, and are good development practice in themselves.

Mainstreaming climate issues into national development policies ensures consistency between the needs of adaptation and poverty eradication. Separation of the two runs the risk of adaptation policies inadvertently conflicting with development and poverty policies, or conversely, development policies inadvertently increasing vulnerability to climatic factors. Accordingly, this issue is critical to the successful eradication of poverty and needs to be placed at the core of national development processes.

The experiences described in this section show how climate issues can be successfully addressed in the

context of development. They have been organized under:

- Addressing vulnerability in the context of sustainable livelihoods.
- Equitable growth and adaptation to climate change.
- Improving governance to mainstream climate issues in poverty reduction.

In practice, overlaps and synergies will occur between actions in these areas. Promoting such synergies is critical and demonstrates how diverse stakeholders, including governments, civil society, and poor people themselves, must share in the task of adapting to climate change (Conde and Lonsdale 2003).

2.1 Addressing Vulnerability in the Context of Sustainable Livelihoods

Although poor people have limited income, they have assets and capabilities that can be strengthened to reduce their vulnerability to climate change. These assets or “capital” can be grouped into social capital, natural capital, physical capital, human capital, and financial capital (DFID 2002). Adaptation policies should focus on providing stable conditions and support for making the livelihood assets of the poor more resilient to climate change through resource accessibility and the reforming of policies, institutions, and processes. It is important to ensure that sectoral and other policies do not undermine, but rather reinforce, the opportunities of the poor to access resources, build assets, and diversify their economic activities to increase their adaptive capacity to climate change.

Social Capital and Climate Change

Traditional systems for adapting to climate variability include a range of livelihood strategies, from individual to collective savings mechanisms and migration. Social networks play a fundamental role for the poor by providing safety nets as an immediate response in adverse times. In addition, informal “solidarity” networks may be constituted or strengthened after climate-related disasters occur. In the past, interventions from outside have often undermined rather than supported the efforts of informal networks. Instead, these networks

should be recognized for the important role they play in environmental management in the face of adversity.

Box 3 indicates insights from some of the ways that people in the Sahel – one of the most climate-sensitive areas of the world – have responded to droughts in the past. These approaches are typical of the way subsistence farmers in many parts of the world have coped in the face of severe shocks to livelihoods. The lessons from the example indicate the need to build upon existing social capital to enhance coping mechanisms of poor people to adapt to climate change.

Box 3 Drought and Livelihoods in the Sahel

Livelihoods in the Sahel suffered heavily during and after the 1968–73 and the 1984 droughts. Adaptation strategies of rural people in Niger provide valuable insights:

- Diversification away from agricultural production is a common response to unpredictable harvests.
- Networks of affinity and trust pull households and individuals together, although minor conflicts – some dating back to pre-colonial relations – may pull them apart.
- The local agrarian system is dynamic and responds to individualistic and well adapted livelihood decisions, as well as to environmental disturbances.
- Access to resources is maintained by switching between capital assets, despite the existence of poverty at certain times and for certain people.
- Migrants tend to leave the community to look for work but usually return.

Source: Batterbury and Warren 1999; Thébaud 1998.

Many traditional risk-sharing mechanisms based on social capital, such as asset pooling and kinship networks, may not work well for climate risks because climate risks often affect all households at the same time. This is different from other shocks such as impacts on households from illness, loss of employment, or death of the main income earner, because everyone who might be a potential source

of help also faces the same hardship. Additionally, traditional coping strategies may be ineffective because of the possibility of climate change increasing the range of climate variability. Consequently, fluctuations that are, or will be, experienced as a result of climate change may exceed the range around which these traditional strategies are built. This may be further hampered by changes in social norms and structures, which no longer allow for the application of traditional coping strategies (Box 4).

Box 4 Need for Social Capital Building to Cope with Climate Impacts

In 2000, Kenya experienced its worst drought in 40 years. Effects were severe for pastoralists because ancient coping mechanisms had broken down, either because land had been sold or because of barriers erected by the relatively affluent farmers, ranchers, industry, and city residents. Some traditional drought responses, such as raiding of neighboring cattle and killing wildlife, have become illegal and are no longer an option. As societal norms affect traditional behavior, strategies may no longer be valid and there is the need to support the vulnerable population in identifying new strategies that enable them to deal with adverse climate and adjust to new socioeconomic conditions.

Source: UNEP 2002.

Management of Natural Capital

Degradation of natural resources and increasing water stress enhance the vulnerability of many rural communities to climate change. Adapting to climate change therefore needs to increase the resilience of natural systems and their productivity in order to support the livelihoods of the poor. There are, however, many examples of how policies have undermined this and led to maladaptation. For example, the strategic development plan for the Senegal River Basin, shared by three countries, emphasized building dams to provide irrigation for rice crops in response to water stresses. The irrigated areas had to be subsequently abandoned due to salinization. A modeling study showed that an



alternative strategy for the basin of irrigated agro-forestry would have had beneficial impacts for the catchment area, microclimate and the agricultural productivity of the poor (Venema et al. 1997).

Inappropriate natural resource management can exacerbate the vulnerability to climate extremes as illustrated by the impacts of Hurricane Mitch in Central America, which were intensified because of deforestation and degradation of wetlands. Forests and wetlands act as buffer systems, diminishing surface run-off in the case of intense rains in addition to providing livelihoods to local communities. The interaction between land-use practices and climatic impacts is also well illustrated in the Yangtze Basin in China where deforestation increased flooding and erosion, which led to the destruction of lives and livelihoods.

However, there are also a growing number of examples of improvements in the use and management of natural resources, which have enhanced the resilience of ecosystems and had positive effects on the livelihoods of poor people. In China, a very high proportion of the land is subject to severe land degradation. In these areas, the government has recently undertaken integrated ecosystems management. At the household level, eco-farming integrates renewable energy use such as solar power, vineyard cultivation, and legume planting for fixing sand and providing forage (ADB 1999). In Vietnam, mangrove planting led to improved resilience of the local population to climatic extremes and provided livelihood opportunities (Box 5).

Box 5

Mangrove Planting in Vietnam

The Vietnam Red Cross (VNRC) has supported local communities in the northern coastal provinces in planting 12,000 hectare of mangrove trees to break the 1.5-meter waves typically associated with tropical typhoons and to act as buffer to 110 kilometer of sea dyke. While the program has cost US\$1.1 million, the benefits have already proved far greater. Costs of dyke maintenance have been reduced by US\$7.3 million each year. Furthermore, Typhoon Wukong in October 2000 claimed no lives inland, caused no damage to the dyke and only minimal damage to possessions and property. The mangrove planting has also created livelihood opportunities for the 7,750 families involved in the replanting and protection effort and who are harvesting shellfish among the mangroves.

Source: IFRC-RCS 2002.

Physical Capital

Policy making and planning has often neglected the needs of the poor, while they are the most vulnerable to climate-related shocks and stresses. Development strategies based only on discussion with politically powerful groups can lead to large-scale infrastructure and technological solutions that undermine or are inappropriate for poor households. Furthermore, infrastructure design is often solely based on past climatic records and may therefore not or only insufficiently account for changes in regional climatic conditions such as more intense and frequent extreme weather events. By assuming no change, development policies can lead to maladaptation enhancing the vulnerability of a region and its population.

It may be necessary to assist poor people in making their physical capital more climate resilient through the use of appropriate infrastructure and technology. For example, in September 2000, a serious flood in the Mekong delta killed over 300 people and affected more than 500,000 houses. Afterwards, the Vietnam Red Cross helped to install flexible flood and typhoon resistant houses that can be easily restored after a disaster hits. They are

a successful adaptation strategy with direct benefits for the livelihoods of the poor. Except for steel frames and a platform that allows the house to be quickly raised if water rises, all materials are cheap and locally available and the house looks similar to traditional houses.

Human Capital

Climate change presents many complex risks to different groups and sectors over different timeframes and localities. Adaptation is likely to be successful if people are informed about climate change, how it affects them, and options for doing something about it. Successful climate change interventions are dependent on high-quality accessible information to allow effective decision making. As the impacts of climate change are difficult to predict accurately, adaptation activities need to be flexible and responsive to new information, and robust to withstand a wide range of plausible futures. The use of risk management and coping thresholds is an area of applied adaptation research of growing importance (Jones and Boer 2003; Jones and Mearns 2003).

Agricultural climate information is now used to advise farmers about their choice of crops and methods of cultivation, which in turn has provided major benefits in terms of increased yields and preventing food shortages. Similarly, better information and early warning systems for farmers can reduce vulnerability to inter-annual climate variations and enable responses to be proactive rather than reactive (Box 6).



Box 6

Climate Information for Southern African Farmers

A recent review of the international initiative on Regional Climate Outlook Forums (RCOFs) has found many benefits in helping the poor to cope. RCOFs were first initiated in 1996 and gained momentum as a regional response to the major El Niño of 1998. RCOFs are rapidly becoming the main regional mechanism for providing seasonal climate forecasts to policymakers and for disseminating climate information to users, including farmers.

The forums bring together climate scientists, operational forecasters and end-users. Climate outlook guidance is agreed and the implications for climate-sensitive sectors are discussed. The guidance is presented in terms of probabilities of rainfall being in the ranges of previous dry or wet years. The forums have helped to develop links and mutual understanding between meteorologists and end-users of seasonal forecasts and have stimulated the development of national seasonal predictive capacity in Africa. They have also raised awareness of the issues of inter-annual climate variability and climate change and provided an impetus for adaptation activities.

Source: Hulme 1996; WMO et al. 2000.

Climate information can generate substantial benefits in other areas as well, including water management, planning and delivery of health services, and improved warning for extreme weather events.

As the poor already have a lot of knowledge about how to cope with climate variability, adaptation activities should take account of this knowledge, where benefits are proven. Incorporating local knowledge into policy actions may help governments to accommodate specific needs of poor people and ensure that strategies are taken up by local communities.

Box 7 illustrates the use of folklore by Andean communities, which anticipate the abundance of rain during the growing season by the brightness of the stars and adjust their crop planting strategies accordingly.

**Box 7****Traditional Forecasting in the Andes**

Indigenous farmers in some communities of the high Andes of Peru and Bolivia observe the Pleiades star constellation to gain insights into the possible weather several months into the future. They observe the overall brightness, the size, the date of first appearance, and the position of the brightest star in the cluster. If the stars appear clear in the pre-dawn sky, early abundant rains and a rich potato crop is anticipated. If the stars appear dim, a smaller harvest is expected due to late and reduced rainfall. The farmers adjust their planting practices accordingly to minimize the negative impact of these anticipated weather conditions.

Scientists have found that the visibility of the constellation, which also determines the time of its first appearance in the sky, might be related to the presence of wispy cirrus clouds high in the atmosphere, and that these are associated with the warm phase of El Niño. Andean farmers have in effect been forecasting El Niño for at least 400 years, and are able to adjust their planting schedule if poor or later rains are expected.

Source: Orlove et al. 2000.

In Southern Africa numerous adaptation techniques are used by poorer farmers to deal with anticipated drought. These include water and soil management techniques, resistant crop varieties, and food production methods. However, these techniques are often known only locally, or to certain ethnic groups.

It is necessary to further the understanding of how such traditional knowledge is, and can be, utilized by communities, and also how climate change will impact on the reliability of such practices in the future.

Financial Capital – Promoting Safety-Net Mechanisms

While the poor have limited financial assets, there are ways for them to mobilize their own savings

and reduce financial risk. The micro-finance industry has grown considerably in the past twenty years, with micro-insurance a relatively recent addition (World Bank 2000). While micro-insurance faces the standard challenges inherent in all insurance schemes, it has to deal with additional issues arising from catering to poor households that already represent high insurance risks.

One way to overcome these difficulties is by the use of informal networks of trust that exist within well established groups. Wodaabe herders in Niger have informal systems for managing risks, such as the *habbamae*. These provide loans to replace reproductive stock lost to natural events. The *habbamae* stocking system is illustrative of community-level institutional arrangements that, with minimal additional support, can be used to reduce income-related risks and strengthen the capacity to cope.

A number of countries are now developing more formal schemes; for example Morocco plans to offer sunflower and cereal grain farmers insurance that would pay out when rainfall is below certain thresholds during critical growing periods (Mosley 2000; Skees et al. 1999; Hees et al. 2002). (See also page 20).

2.2 Equitable Growth and Adaptation to Climate Change

Economic growth is important for generating livelihood opportunities for poor people, allowing them to move out of poverty (see Bardhan 1996; Dollar and Kray 2000; Ravallion 2000). It can contribute to the ability of governments to provide important services, such as health and education, which are key to achieving the Millennium Development Goals. The extent, pattern, and distribution of growth in a country is the outcome of the interaction between its initial conditions, its institutions, its policy choices, the external shocks or stimuli it receives, and chance. However, economic growth by itself is not a sufficient condition for poverty eradication. The pattern or "quality" of economic growth is as important to eradicating poverty as the absolute level of that growth. Growth benefits the poor most when it occurs in areas of the economy that provide opportunities for increased employment and higher returns for poor people's assets.

Climatic variability affects short-term economic growth in many countries. Droughts or floods

severely disrupt economies and it can take years before the impact of such shocks fade and economies can return to their previous growth paths. Moreover, ongoing climate change will further increase the vulnerability of poor people, particularly for economies that are heavily dependent upon natural resources (for example water) and related climate sensitive economic sectors (such as agriculture, forestry, and fisheries). Figure 2 shows the impact of El Niño related events on the agricultural productivity of Malawi, Zimbabwe and Zambia, which also has considerable ramifications for their GDP. As climate change is expected to exacerbate climatic extremes associated with El Niño years, this underlines the need to address climate related vulnerability in the context of development strategies.

Climate change can depress the economy by affecting the sources of growth. The exact nature and scale will depend on a number of factors including:

- Quality of economic growth and distribution of its benefits.
- Structure of the economy.
- Ability of the government to finance important social services such as education and health.
- Longer-term implications of disruption to existing growth paths through, for example damage to infrastructure.


- Success of adaptation efforts implemented in response to the anticipated or experienced change.

Given the centrality of growth to poverty eradication, there is a need for measures aimed at minimizing climate's negative impacts on a country's growth strategy. Experience suggests that in adopting such an approach there is scope for the following interventions.

Mainstreaming Climate Issues into Economic Planning and the Budget Process

While climatic change is just one out of several possible external events to which economies and societies are exposed, appropriate adaptation responses may be critical to maintain growth prospects. Governments can attempt to increase the resilience of their growth strategies through implementing effective adaptation policies to both short-term and long-term impacts of climate on their economies. This is best achieved by adopting flexible economic policies based on an understanding of climatic risks and risk management. Accordingly, climate issues should be mainstreamed into national economic planning and budgetary processes, both to ensure macroeconomic stability and to ensure budgetary allocations for activities that minimize climate risk.

Figure 2
Maize Production in Selected South African Countries* versus Niño 3 Data
Source: Dilley et al. 1997




The budget is a key process in any country for identifying and funding development priorities. Given their growing importance within the development process, climate adaptation activities should be integrated in the budget framework. This will ensure that climate change interventions are properly funded over the long term, integrated into relevant sectoral priorities, and balanced against other competing priorities.

In addition, economic management, particularly in the face of extreme events, requires improved means to manage the consequences of shocks through effective inclusion of contingencies for climatic variability within budget planning processes. For instance, as Box 8 illustrates, integration of disaster management as a component of macroeconomic projections, including public expenditure planning, allows the exploration of more effective financial options at the country and international level.

Box 8

Economic Planning for Disasters in Honduras

An exercise to model the macroeconomic impacts of disasters in Honduras developed a framework to analyze the economic impacts of disasters and policies to reduce them. Initially, the country estimated annual expected losses of US\$64 million per year (0.49 percent of capital stock) due to natural catastrophes.



Subsequently, the macroeconomic impacts of direct losses were estimated, as shown in the graph. Honduras considered cases in which access to post-disaster financing may be limited. The figure demonstrates that if foreign reserves are only accessible after the catastrophe, the event could result in stagnant GDP over the following eight years. The first trajectory, marked with boxes, represents projections for expected annual growth rates of between 5 and 6 percent. The second growth trajectory, marked with triangles, incorporates the effects of catastrophic exposure assuming the country cannot obtain sufficient foreign reserves or external funds to finance post-disaster losses. This new growth trajectory demonstrates that catastrophe exposure has the potential to impede future growth of the Honduran economy.

Source: Freeman et al. 2001.

Increasing the Resilience of Infrastructure and Investments

Estimates indicate that 50 to 75 percent of economic losses from Hurricane Mitch resulted from inadequate design and location of infrastructure, such as housing, roads, bridges, and industry (Charveriat 2000). However, despite growing awareness, there is currently no formal mechanism for assessing the impacts of climate events and conditions on infrastructure and development activities.

Although precise information from climate change projections about the probability of different climate events is limited, it is important to simulate how a range of events would impact on the outcome of a development activity; in many cases it could potentially entail a re-examination of the activity. Until now, the application of vulnerability assessment information in project appraisals has been extremely limited. Cost-benefit analysis needs to highlight the impact of various scenarios on the poorest and to incorporate, to the extent possible, an evaluation of environmental externalities (Dixon et al. 1988, 1994). Initially, such evaluation may focus on infrastructure projects that tend to have a long economic life, such as dams, roads, bridges, and electricity power supply and distribution systems.

There are also many examples of the need for improved climate-related codes and standards for infrastructure design, to ensure a decrease in the vulnerability of the poor. For example, the increasing variability in precipitation may need larger reservoirs, and more frequent storms may necessitate redesign of coastal infrastructure to withstand storms and storm surges. Examples of regulatory tools include land-use planning, water-basin management, and implementation of storm-resistant building codes. In many cases, these regulatory interventions exist but have limited impact and do not pay adequate attention to the communities that may be adversely impacted. It is therefore important that vulnerability assessments and climate-related codes and standards are integrated into the design and maintenance of infrastructure.

Improving Management Systems and Technology

Growth and development in areas dependent upon natural resources are often particularly climate sensitive and current patterns of resource use and management may need to be changed in order to promote climate resilience.

For example, many developing countries have a persistent and systemic water crisis in terms of both water stress and water scarcity. With projected changes in climate, water stress and the frequency and magnitudes of droughts are both likely to be exacerbated in many arid and semi-arid countries in Africa and the Middle East (IPCC 2001b). Therefore, managing the demand for water through policy instruments, including a sound regulatory environment and an incentive-based system – covering resource rights and pollution permits – would be a key to reducing vulnerability.

Many crops, such as rice, are already at the limit of their temperature tolerance, and increases in mean temperature and climate variability in the tropical countries could result in more years with lower yields (IPCC 2001b). However, agricultural management models that promote dryland agriculture could increase the resilience of agricultural systems and the development of drought-resistant species could enhance the ability to cope with reduced water availability. Consequently, more effective adaptation can be achieved through improved resource management systems and use of available technology.

Spreading Risk – Enhancing the Financial Resilience of the Poor

New capacities, technical support, and policy instruments are required that will allow the incorporation of risk management into economic and sectoral planning instruments and improve access by the poor to insurance and other safety-net mechanisms. A number of initiatives are currently being developed in order to find a more innovative approach to structuring risk sharing, so that insurance markets can better absorb catastrophe losses and provide affordable insurance for poor people and governments. This also includes the establishment of public-private or national-international partnerships. For instance, formal sector international insurers are being sought to reinsure the portfolios of institutions like the Grameen Bank in Bangladesh.

In the absence of insurance markets, households try to cope with weather risks by: (a) self-insuring through asset accumulation, savings, and access to credit; (b) income diversification; and (c) informal insurance arrangements. In most poor countries and for most poor households, credit and savings markets are imperfect and asset accumulation



is never enough in times of a crisis. Diversification to other activities is difficult because households lack skills, information, and capital to do something else. Many households adopt low-risk and low-yield production patterns to ensure a minimum income. These production patterns come at the expense of perhaps much riskier, but higher-return, production that could create income growth and the build-up of capital. Finally, informal insurance arrangements at the local community level often break down when faced with disasters that are geographically widespread, such as severe weather events, catastrophic droughts, and floods, because all households suffer at the same time.

Traditional insurance markets dealing with weather related risks have a very low penetration in developing countries, although there is a clear need to establish systems to provide for insurance coverage in cases of catastrophic weather events. However, because of the geographically widespread nature of many weather events, a large number of people will make claims at the same time and thus local insurance companies could face huge losses.

At the macro level, several governments in developing countries have some ad hoc emergency assistance programs that fund post-disaster relief efforts. Because of the ad hoc nature of these government programs, it is not possible to find international reinsurance and thus governments tend to self-insure through budgetary allocation. Such self-insured programs could run out of funds if a catastrophic event occurs. Some governments in poorer countries rely on donors to provide funds in case of disasters but donor funds can be too little or too late.

Recent developments in global financial and insurance/reinsurance markets are making it increasingly feasible to spread weather risks across countries. New financial and insurance instruments, such as catastrophe bonds and weather insurance contracts, offer innovative ways of packaging the risks assumed by local insurers and governments. For example, catastrophe bonds issued against rainfall events in developing countries could be appealing to international investment bankers because their risk would be uncorrelated with the risks of most other financial investments. Similarly, several international insurers and weather risk companies

would like to diversify their weather related risk portfolio by including weather risks in developing countries. Market mechanisms for risk management do not always develop on their own, because they have historically interfered with local institutions and the large international markets. Governments and bilateral/multilateral financial institutions can help establish and support the development of sustainable structures (Box 9).

An important challenge to developing weather insurance of this kind is the availability of reliable and verifiable data on weather patterns. Furthermore, weather stations with appropriate hardware systems need to be put in place to ensure reliable readings on insured events. However, weather events can also vary spatially, so the existence of microclimates and localized disasters needs to be taken into account. In some cases, weather events show a trend, for example a negative rainfall trend, signifying higher probability for droughts—this can pose a challenge in designing a drought insurance program. Hence, while insurance schemes can help to spread the risk of climate impacts, their limitations need to be carefully considered, particularly because climate change may cause changes in climate variability and the occurrence of extreme events in a region, and past experiences may not apply to the future.



Box 9

Mexico's Experience in Funding Natural Disaster Relief

In Mexico, in 1996, the government established a Fund for Natural Disasters (FONDEN) for post-disaster financing for reconstruction of public infrastructure and compensation to low-income producers for crop and livestock losses arising from natural disasters. FONDEN targets the beneficiaries and has limits to amounts it disburses per beneficiary. The intention is not to compete with private insurance. FONDEN payments are triggered only when droughts, frost, or other weather perils affect most people in a region — that is, FONDEN pays out against catastrophic systemic events. In addition, more recently, FONDEN has started to adopt objective rules for declaring catastrophic events. For example, FONDEN rules that livestock owners are eligible for drought payouts when cumulative rainfall is below either 50% of its historical average or historical minimum for two consecutive months. Similarly, frost is declared when temperatures fall below a certain level depending on the crop. Using parametric rules for triggering payments removes an ad hoc dimension in the declaration of catastrophes and reduces the political interference in FONDEN's operations. The government of Mexico is currently looking into the feasibility of obtaining financial reinsurance for FONDEN to cover its exposure from weather risks affecting the agricultural sector.

In addition, providing catastrophic insurance coverage has encouraged the formation of mutual insurance funds amongst farmer organizations. These farmer organizations are called fondos de aseguramiento (known as fondos), formed to provide mutual crop insurance to their members. The fondos collect premiums, creating reserves to pay indemnities and cover operational costs. However, in the event of catastrophic weather events the collected premiums and reserves are not sufficient to cover the losses. This is because a catastrophe affects all farmers at the same time and the

mutual insurance needs to make payments to all of them at the same time. Research by the World Bank identified drought, excess humidity, and frost as the main weather perils that represent catastrophic risks for the fondos. These risks depend on the geographic location of the fondos, so each one is exposed to mainly one or two weather risks.

Source: World Bank 2000; Skees et al. 2001.

2.3 Improving Governance to Mainstream Climate Issues in Poverty Reduction

Public institutions, including both political systems and civil service institutions, are key to determining and implementing effective decisions. Climate change—which brings new and unknown risks, difficult choices, and potential sudden shocks—reinforces the need for responsive and accountable institutions. This is illustrated in an example on constructing and maintaining flood control systems in Bangladesh (Box 10), which demonstrates that good governance must underpin effective adaptation strategies. By making public institutions responsive, participative, and accountable to those they serve, decision making process and implementation activities can be robust enough to deal with the challenge of climate change.

Box 10

Public Accountability for Flood Protection in Bangladesh

Flooding is a fact of life in Bangladesh, with one third of cultivated land flooded in a normal monsoon year. People in the Haor Basin have learned to cope, as the floodplains are some of the most productive fisheries in the country and the region has a food surplus providing up to 10 percent of national grain supplies. But the food system is fragile; 80 percent of people are sharecroppers or landless laborers and a powerful elite control land and fishing rights. While expected floods can be managed, flash floods can cause severe damage to homes and crops.



To prevent this, the Water Development Board constructed over 800 kilometers of embankments with responsibility for maintenance shared between government and residents. In 2002, after complaints by residents about lack of repairs to embankments, construction mismanagement, lack of monitoring, and corruption, a flash flood hit just before the main harvest. It destroyed a third of all infrastructure and 20 percent of the crop, leaving 1.4 million people in the Haor Basin facing food shortages. In response to visits to the area by activists and government officials, relief was provided and steps taken to prevent a similar outcome in the future. The Minister for Water Resources has agreed to stamp out corruption in construction and some senior Water Board officials have been removed while a judicial inquiry is underway.

The State Minister for Disaster Management has announced that, for the first time in 20 years, elected officials will be involved in embankment construction and maintenance. However, since local government remains weak, a local civil society group, HUNO, is working with the local government and Water Board to develop a citizen-based monitoring system.

Sources: Sashankar 2002; DFID 2002.

The Role of Civil Society in Climate Change Policies and Programs

For climate adaptation to be effective, empowering civil society to participate in the assessment process, including identifying and implementing adaptation activities, is especially important (see Box 11). However, in order to enhance their participation, it is important to ensure that they have access to information, analysis, and knowledge about the impact of climate change on their lives. Vulnerability assessments and adaptation measures are more likely to be realistic and effective if they have input from those who will be affected by climate change and who are best placed to manage the relevant risks. At the same time, adaptation reflects a continuing learning process, and community participation in the assessment process could itself enable the community to initiate adaptation measures.

Box 11

Reducing the Vulnerability of Women to Cyclones in Bangladesh

The community-based cyclone preparedness program in Bangladesh has found that where women were not involved in village-level disaster preparedness committees, responsible for maintaining cyclone shelters and transmitting warnings, they made up the highest proportion of cyclone victims. In Cox's Bazaar in east Bangladesh, where women are now fully involved in disaster preparedness and support activities (education, reproductive health, self-help groups, and small and medium enterprises), there has been a huge reduction in the numbers of women killed or affected.

Source: IFRC-RCS 2002.

Engaging broader civil society, including community groups, religious organizations, trade unions, professional associations, the media, and public interest organizations, is also important. Such groups can be instrumental in raising awareness of climate change impacts, in supporting poor people as they engage in adaptation activities, in providing valuable knowledge, and in monitoring governmental performance and holding government to account in its efforts to cope with climate change. Consequently, civil society, and particularly the poor, must be empowered to participate in the assessment process and in identifying adaptation activities.

Monitoring and Assessment of Poverty and Climate Change

Understanding how climate change is increasing the vulnerability of the poor through its impact on their livelihoods, health, and economic opportunities is crucial to effective policy responses.

Improved use of climate information will require strengthening existing institutions and processes to develop effective procedures for information collection and dissemination. This will include, and in turn contribute to, the development of trust and motivation among end-users. As well as the need to ensure that climatic information is focused on the needs of the poor, there are two kinds of challenges: the first involves situations where informa-

tion exists, but is poorly coordinated and often not used, and the second involves situations in which relevant information is lacking. Generally, vulnerability assessments for current climate variability are more widely available, while assessments for future climate variability are much fewer – although growing in number.

The considerable body of information about current climate variability includes the growing number of vulnerability assessments at all spatial scales (UNEP 2001; Downing and Patwardhan 2003), but despite this progress vulnerability assessments are still limited in number for poor countries. Vulnerability maps account for climate-induced risks to crop production, water, health, and so on. The World Food Programme has its own vulnerability-mapping unit and non-governmental organizations like the Save the Children Fund also have their own assessments. The challenge is to increase the effectiveness of the information by coordinating data gathering efforts to avoid duplication and to ensure that the data really are being used to inform and influence decisions and action. There are many cases demonstrating that the real problem is not lack of vulnerability assessments or early warning – but the lack of prompt action on such early warnings.

However, sometimes the problem is a lack of relevant and reliable poverty-climate information. In particular, action is needed to improve short-term impact information and to ensure that it is used. To date, many climate change vulnerability, impact, and adaptation assessments have focused on the medium to long term (year 2050 or even 2100). This timeframe does not coincide with the scope of decision making by politicians and governments, who are guided by short-term political cycles and annual budgets. Shorter-term probabilistic climate outlooks, from the seasonal to 3–10 year time scale, could therefore be useful for the development of rapid, but preliminary, assessments. This focus on the short run will help to make the assessments more useful for reducing poverty now. Nevertheless, it is important to recognize and also improve the long-term projections in climate trends to ensure that no measures are taken now that lead to maladaptation in the long run.

Development of possible socioeconomic scenarios for the future has proven problematic due to the large uncertainties associated with projecting likely

development paths. Guidelines for the design of socioeconomic scenarios are now developed (UNDP 2001), and some countries – like China and India – are using them as part of their assessments. The development of diverse scenarios based on the integration of climate change and poverty data – including, but not limited to, Poverty Reduction Strategy Papers (PRSPs) – can inform the design of more effective adaptation strategies and planning options.

In conclusion, effective and transparent institutions must be in place to ensure that high quality information reflecting the needs of the poor is produced in a participative manner, made accessible, and acted upon. This is key to effective decision making.

Coordination of Adaptation by Government

Vulnerability assessments and the identification of cross-sectoral dimensions of climate change have led some countries – which usually are already vulnerable to today's climate – to assign the general responsibility of climate change management to a ministry with a broad mandate. In Kiribati, for example, the national adaptation program is managed by the Ministry of Finance, which helps to ensure a coordinated response and that other policies do not inadvertently increase the vulnerability to climate change (Box 12).

Box 12 Kiribati's Mainstreaming in National Planning Processes

Kiribati is one of the most vulnerable countries in the world to the effects of climate change, climate variability, and sea level rise. Most of the land in Tarawa, Kiribati's largest and most populous island, is less than 3 meters above sea level, with an average width of only 450 meters. The islands are exposed to storm surges and to droughts, particularly during La Niña events. Many adaptation measures that address immediate risks are the same as those recommended for adapting to climate change.

The government of Kiribati is moving to a two-phase Adaptation Program, which would address mainstreaming adaptation in their national development framework. The



Program is overseen by an Adaptation Working Group, chaired by the Secretary to the Cabinet, and managed by the Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning. All other concerned Ministries are represented on the Adaptation Working Group, along with fully committed senior-level staff. The Preparation Phase (scheduled to last two years) will involve a process of national consultations between key government ministries, the private sector, non-governmental organizations, and civil society to agree on a national vision for adaptation, along with broad benchmark indicators that would be incorporated into national economic planning. The Implementation Phase (three to four years) would start once adaptation benchmarks are agreed and ideally be supported by incremental grant financing from donors for top-up of public expenditures against agreed adaptation benchmarks.

Source: Bettencourt 2002.

Mainstreaming Adaptation into Planning Processes

All countries have some process to identify and implement key priorities at national, subnational, and sectoral levels. At the national level, this may be a long-term development plan, a Poverty Reduction Strategy (PRS), a five-year planning process, or a national strategy for sustainable development (OECD 2001). Mozambique's Action Plan for Poverty Reduction represents an example of how responses to climate risks can be integrated into national development strategies (Box 13).

The prioritization of development interventions normally occurs in the Office of the President or Prime Minister, in Planning Agencies, or in Ministries of Finance. The process varies from country to country and, in large countries, often from province to province. The priority-setting process typically involves technical analyses as well as participatory inputs by various stakeholders. The design of adaptation activities will be context specific, based on the country's specific development planning process and the particular analytical and participatory methodologies used.

Box 13

Mozambique's Action Plan for Poverty Reduction

Mozambique is particularly vulnerable to natural disasters, which impact heavily on the poor. The floods it experienced in 2000 and 2001 had far-reaching social and economic consequences for the poor and the country's economic growth. The impact of natural disasters on the poor is recognized in Mozambique's Action Plan for the Reduction of Absolute Poverty 2001–2005, in which reducing vulnerability to natural disasters is one of the key action areas. The Action Plan states:


Natural disasters are a risk factor, which affect the pace of economic growth, and destroy assets of the poorest segments of the population in affected areas, reducing them to a state of dependency, at least temporarily, on donations. Natural disasters affect the living conditions of affected populations, and constitute an obstacle to a definitive break with certain degrees and patterns of poverty. Therefore, measures aimed at managing these risks are of the utmost importance.

The Action Plan goes on to recommend that action be taken to strengthen the national capacity to respond to natural disaster by raising the standard of the national early warning system.

Source: Mozambique 2001.

In addition to national level planning, experience suggests that climate adaptation also needs to be followed through to local level planning and implementation to be effective. With increasing decentralization, local governments are often key to effective implementation of any policy in developing countries. Adaptation strategies will require fusing government- and institution-level approaches with bottom-up approaches rooted in regional, national, and local knowledge (Niang-Diop and Bosch 2003).

Finally, key climate-sensitive sectors, such as agriculture and infrastructure, also need to integrate



climate adaptation activities. For example, in Kenya, the total area suitable for growing tea may be dramatically reduced with a temperature increase of 2°C in comparison to 1990 (Figure 3). Only higher-elevation areas would remain appropriate for tea growing; other areas would become too hot to grow tea. This work demonstrates the potential dangers of not taking climate change into account in strategic sectoral planning. Mainstreaming climate issues into broader planning activities would lead policymakers at least to consider the impacts on export earnings and may encourage diversification into more climate-resilient food

crops in vulnerable areas. Whatever form the priority-setting process takes, it should consider relevant climate change adaptation activities.

As this section has demonstrated, for adaptation to be effective, development of adaptive capacity to climate variability and change should be mainstreamed into national support for poverty reduction and sustainable development. The task ahead for the development community is to increase adaptive capacities and help to implement specific actions for addressing climate change impacts.

Part 3: The Way Forward

This document has argued that climate change impacts severely threaten development efforts and opportunities across the developing world. Through the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)⁵, efforts have been initiated to develop and increase the adaptive capacity of poor people and the poorer countries to the impacts of climate change. The UNFCCC decisions accept that sustainable development must be the framework for adaptation activities and that both immediate and long-term responses to the adverse impacts of climate change are needed.

Many of these responses are “no regrets” measures, which, if implemented, strengthen the overall sustainable development process. No-regrets policies and measures would generate net social benefits whether or not there is human-induced climate change (IPCC 2001b). While in principle no-regrets measures are beneficial to the economy and their realization may in some cases require no or little additional funding, considerable transaction costs may be involved in other cases. The challenge is to fine-tune policies and practices by removing barriers that currently constrain the adoption of no-regrets adaptation responses. Depending on the rate and magnitude of climate change, further specific adaptation measures may become necessary and require additional funding. It is important to recall that the need for, and therefore also the cost of, adaptation is ultimately tied to mitigation efforts.

Below are the areas of action for all stakeholders, given an understanding that the main objective is to mainstream and integrate adaptation responses into sustainable development processes and activities.

3.1 Mainstream Adaptation into Sustainable Development

Development Agencies and Donors

Support for general poverty reduction and sustainable development lies at the core of development agencies’ programs. Given the gravity of climate issues and their potential impact on achieving the Millennium Development Goals by 2015 and sus-



taining progress beyond 2015, development agencies need to give more consideration to climate change in their work.

International development agencies should take the lead in internalizing climate issues in all their work by ensuring robustness of poverty reduction programs to climate change. This would require development of tools and methodologies, training and awareness raising of senior management and staff, and the possible modification of their own institutional processes to ensure that climate vulnerability is addressed with due diligence⁶.

Over recent years, several countries and regions have developed vulnerability and adaptation assessments, as well as practical policy proposals and strategic implementation plans to address climate change. This knowledge needs to be integrated into development support so as to manage climate vulnerability along with other non-climate risks in project design and implementation. Three windows of opportunity are available to enable this integration.

First, there is an immediate opportunity to analyze projects and practices that show how the translation of adaptation planning and assessment into project design will provide real benefits. This can

ultimately facilitate the mainstreaming of climate change issues into national policy and sustainable development planning.

Second is the opportunity to start implementing adaptation activities where sufficient information is available. Such activities need to be of immediate concern to the livelihoods of poor people, such as water resources management, land management, health, agriculture, infrastructure development, fragile ecosystems, and integrated coastal zone management. There is also a need for climate change related disaster prevention and preparedness to be more proactive and development-oriented. At the same time, development efforts should fully incorporate disaster prevention and preparedness.

Third is the opportunity to implement the priority adaptation activities identified by the UNFCCC⁷,

especially in cooperation with its financial mechanism, the Global Environment Facility (GEF). In this context, collaboration between the Development and Environment Ministries of OECD countries would help to harmonize adaptation within the development cooperation framework and within the UNFCCC focus (OECD 2002).

Governments in Developing Countries

The efforts of development agencies to address climate change should support and complement those of developing-country governments in mainstreaming climate issues in national development frameworks, sectoral planning and budgeting processes, and in integrating adaptation measures in the implementation of development projects.

In the context of regional, national, and local needs and sustainable development imperatives, adaptation interventions often form only a subset of many desirable interventions. Interventions to address climate-induced increased vulnerability compete with interventions to address other important issues such as indebtedness, HIV/AIDS, increasing urban poverty, macroeconomic instability, and increasing inequality. Consequently, following assessments of vulnerability and adaptation options, adaptation interventions, where necessary, would need to be prioritized in the context of other development interventions. The assessment and prioritization processes would necessarily require engagement between civil society, the government, and the private sector.

In order to internalize the identified priorities, it is necessary to enable institutional processes to anticipate climate-related vulnerability. This emphasizes the importance of the budget process. Even if the development priorities are ultimately funded in some countries through development assistance, this expenditure should be included within the budget framework to ensure proper planning and financial management and the correct prioritization amongst competing demands for resources within the country itself. This will ensure that they are properly funded over the long term, that they are integrated into relevant sectoral priorities, and that they can be balanced against other competing priorities.

The pervasive nature of interventions required across the economy to address climate-related vulnerability suggests that in some cases a Ministry



with a broad mandate, for example the Ministry of Finance or Planning, is most appropriate to coordinate adaptation interventions. In other cases, where vulnerability increases are largely confined to a single sector (for example water or agriculture), strengthening the sectoral Ministry may be the key institutional intervention.

3.2 Continue and Strengthen Assessment and Information Gathering

Internalizing climate vulnerability into the development process, both within the development agencies as well as within country governments, will require assessments of current and future climate vulnerability. Within a development project, such assessments should include both a participatory analysis of the vulnerability of the community, ecosystem, and socioeconomic sector that the project addresses, and an assessment of the effects of the project on the vulnerability of the community, ecosystem, and socioeconomic sector.

This document has argued the importance of both the geographic specificity of increased vulnerability and of local, sometimes informal, knowledge to deal with climate variability. This highlights the importance of capturing local knowledge, reviewing and assessing its applicability, and its dissemination amongst other communities and relevant agencies.

Assessment related activities should build on the significant work already undertaken by many countries to prepare vulnerability assessments in the framework of the preparation of National Communications to the UNFCCC. Best practice examples are the National Communications of Mongolia, Ethiopia, Maldives, Yemen (Mongolia 2001; Ethiopia 2001; Maldives 2001; Yemen 2001), and the regional assessments developed by the Pacific and the Caribbean Small Island States. Typically, these documents have been developed from the perspective of the livelihood approach, giving emphasis of the impact of climate change on people's lives, instead of solely focusing on physical and natural changes.

International support is important to create an enabling environment in developing countries to carry out vulnerability assessments of relevance to their needs and priorities. This could include strengthening infrastructure for data collection and dissemination, capacity building for scientific and

socioeconomic assessments, and for related policy analyses.

Vulnerability assessments and their integration into development policy and implementation is an evolving process. The incorporation of vulnerability assessments requires strengthening human and institutional capacity in national and international development agencies and appropriate civil society organizations to support the information and decision making needs of individuals, and realign institutional practices where appropriate. Recognizing the importance of increased knowledge sharing, some development agencies have created an open network called the Vulnerability and Adaptation Resource Group (VARG) to enhance knowledge sharing⁸.

3.3 Engagement with the UNFCCC Process

The UNFCCC has played a valuable role in assisting countries to consider adaptation responses through "enabling activities" to promote capacity building, public and political awareness creation, sustainability of institutional arrangements, sustainability of capacities, and integration of climate change adaptation measures into national development policies. The first round of enabling activities must be seen as a first step, but many of these objectives, in particular the integration of climate change responses into national development policies, are yet to be completed in any country (Amous et al. 2000). In the absence of prior experience, unrealistically high goals were set considering the limited funds and time available. As the first round was undertaken, it became apparent that the methodologies for vulnerability and adaptation assessment need to be further developed to enable the integration of these assessments into development practices.

However, the main reason for the weakness of adaptation activities promoted by the international community has been institutional. The process has been led by the UNFCCC national focal points, which are normally situated within Ministries of Environment, which often have limited links and leverage over other line Ministries. Developing a coherent response to adaptation requires integrating climate change adaptation into the activities of other ministries, such as Finance, Economic Affairs, Planning, and key sectoral line Ministries.

The implementation and effectiveness of the UNFCCC process in addressing global climate change, especially in developing countries, is closely linked to its ability to simultaneously further development and poverty reduction goals. Capacity building to support and facilitate these linkages will enhance the sensitivity of poverty reduction policies to climate issues.

Recently, the UNFCCC has also mandated support for adaptation activities through three Funds (the Least Developed Country Fund, the Special Climate Change Fund, and the Kyoto Protocol Adaptation Fund). The LDC Fund, which is the only Fund of the three that is currently operational, is supporting the Least Developed Countries to prepare National Adaptation Programmes of Action (NAPAs). This is accompanied by the formation of the Least Developed Countries Expert Group (LEG), which is emphasizing the focus on poverty reduction during adaptation planning.

In addition, knowledge generation and dissemination related to climate impacts and vulnerability assessments are essential for making poverty reduction strategies more effective by mainstreaming and integrating climate issues. The IPCC has played a key role in reviewing and synthesizing information about climate change, its impacts, and potential adaptation measures, with a view to informing the UNFCCC negotiations. This knowledge needs to be made more accessible to decision makers, development agencies, and civil society in order to enable them to use it to inform their own work.

Finally, the implementation experience of the development agencies needs to be shared with the UNFCCC process with a view to informing the dialog on opportunities available to integrate adaptation responses in sustainable development. Accordingly, the sharing of analytical tools and project experience should be promoted and inter-agency collaboration should be enhanced.

3.4 Ensure Synergies with Other Multilateral Environmental Agreements

The Rio Conventions reflect the commitment of the international community to protect the global environment, on the basis of common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities. In this context OECD countries have a special responsibility for leadership on sustainable development worldwide, both historically and because

of the influence they exert on the global economy and environment. This responsibility includes helping developing countries to pursue a sustainable development path. In this context it is essential that the linkages between poverty reduction and the global environment are recognized and addressed through appropriate responses (OECD 2002).

This implies that the UNFCCC, the UN Convention on Biological Diversity (UNCBD), the UN Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD), and the international agreements on forests are assessed in their local impact on poverty and synergies between conventions are utilized.

Many countries have already taken initiatives to identify prioritized adaptation interventions. In this context, the Least Developed Countries are preparing NAPAs in accordance with UNFCCC guidelines. In addition, prioritized adaptation interventions have also been identified in National Biodiversity Conservation Plans (prepared in response to the Convention on Biological Diversity), the National Action Plans (prepared in response to the Convention to Combat Desertification), and the National Environmental Action Plans.

Currently, many environmental ministries are stretched by the need to service all these international processes, leaving little time for them to engage in domestic implementation and determining national environmental priorities. This conflict can be reduced by maximizing synergies in reporting and other requirements for these international agreements. For a poor person it does not matter from which convention the intervention comes. It is important that these interventions improve welfare and are sustainable. Streamlining national responses to the various global environmental conventions would also help to minimize the diversion of scarce human resources from primary sustainable development activities.

Sustainable interventions that improve economic and social welfare can be ensured by addressing synergies between conventions on the regional and local levels. Impacts of climate change can also affect projects and objectives of the other Conventions. For example, changes in temperature and rainfall regimes are expected to impact on species distribution and the goods and services provided

by ecosystems. Conservation measures aiming at the long-term protection of biodiversity and ecosystems should take such changes into account. Integrating diverse initiatives will help to achieve a strong synergy between the goals of these global environmental conventions and the sustainable development process.

3.5 External Funding

Mainstreaming climate issues in development planning would help to ensure that adaptation measures are taken, where this proves to be necessary for achieving sustainable development. As a result, the majority of financial resource needs for adaptation interventions could be part of, and channeled through, national development budgets, with appropriate support from bilateral and multilateral agencies and from non-official development aid sources. Within this context, all countries would use their national processes (long-term development plans, poverty reduction strategy, national strategy for sustainable development, etc.) to identify and implement key priorities. This would ensure the effectiveness of the interventions since they would be matched by a medium-term expenditure framework that would allocate resources (from national and external sources) to these nationally determined adaptation priorities. It would also ensure that adaptation is addressed as a development issue, and not only as a one-dimensional environmental issue.

However, the development of capacity, as well as the implementation of specific measures to cope with the adverse effects of climate change, will in many cases require substantial external resources to reduce the vulnerability and increase the resilience of those most at risk. While all demands for external financial support should result from national planning processes in developing countries, there are three ways to channel external support:

- Through regular development assistance channels; this could be bilateral, multilateral, and/or non-governmental assistance including public-private partnerships.
- Through the GEF in its role as the financial mechanism of the UNFCCC.
- Through the new Funds created by the UNFCCC.

It is crucial that external financial support is available to developing countries as they integrate adaptation in their own sustainable development efforts, especially in interventions for poverty

reduction. This external funding is required to support: vulnerability assessments; identification, prioritization and preparation of adaptation interventions; and the mainstreaming and integration of these interventions in national and sectoral planning and implementation, through the removal of barriers to the adoption of no-regrets interventions. These barriers could include information and knowledge gaps, weak human and institutional capacity, inappropriate policy context, and lack of transactional experience in planning and implementing adaptation measures.

At the same time, external financial support would also be required for implementing specific adaptation measures that address the increased vulnerability of poor people due to the adverse impacts of climate change. The nature and scope of support for these activities from the GEF and the new climate change Funds would depend on the evolving guidance from the UNFCCC.

Finally, it is essential to ensure the rapid availability of external funding for adaptation interventions. This is required to address the many cases in which adequate information on vulnerability, and on its reduction through adaptation measures in sustainable development programs, is already available. There is the need to implement pilot projects as the powerful learning-by-doing experience from these interventions would reinforce the next steps.







Notes

1. Besides, the sea level and ice sheets would continue to respond to warming for many centuries after greenhouse gas concentrations have been stabilized. Climate Change 2001; Statement of the IPCC Chairman at CoP7.
2. Adaptation will, however, not prevent all damages.
3. In the year 2000 the atmospheric concentration of carbon dioxide (CO₂) was about 370 parts per million (ppm), which represents about a 30 percent increase when compared to pre-industrial levels (IPCC 2001a). A future stabilization level of the CO₂ concentration at, for example, 550 ppm is likely to lead to lower damages than a stabilization at a higher level, say 750 ppm, because the associated climatic changes are likely to exert less pressure on natural and human systems. Over the next 250 years, the projected temperature increase, compared to the 1990 global average, is expected to be 1.9 to 5.1 °C for CO₂ concentration stabilization at 550 ppm, and 2.8 to 7.0 °C at 750 ppm (IPCC 2001a). These projected changes in temperature represent global averages, with the increases being higher over most land areas and lower over ocean surfaces.
4. Besides agriculture, infrastructure plays a crucial role in improving economic conditions in developing countries. According to World Bank estimates 1% increase in the stock of infrastructure translates to a 1% increase in GDP (UNDP 2001).
5. The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) was adopted at the 1992 Earth Summit in Rio. Its ultimate objective is the, "stabilization of greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere at a level that will prevent dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system. Such a level should be achieved within a timeframe sufficient to allow ecosystems to adapt naturally to climate change, to ensure that food production is not threatened and to enable economic development to proceed in a sustainable manner" (Article 2, UNFCCC).

The Conference of the Parties (CoP) is the supreme decision making body of the UNFCCC. It is charged with promoting and reviewing the implementation of the Convention. At the Third CoP in Kyoto, the Parties agreed on a political framework for emissions reduction (the Kyoto Protocol, UNFCCC 1997), while Parties decided on the implementation arrangements for the Kyoto Protocol at the Seventh CoP in Marrakesh. The Marrakesh Accords (UNFCCC 2001), as well as the UNFCCC Declaration at the subsequent Eighth CoP in Delhi emphasized the need to address adaptation, along with mitigation efforts. The Global Environment Facility (GEF) is the financial mechanism of the UNFCCC, and is provided guidance by the CoP on activities eligible for support.

6. Methodologies to assess and manage climate risks and highlight consideration of adaptation interventions from a purely technical to a human development perspective are currently available. (Jones and Boer 2003; Jones and Mearns 2003). The underlying approach emphasizes that adaptation is a process (UNDP 2003a) and needs to be assessed with appropriate indicators under a proper monitoring and evaluation framework for effectiveness (Perez and Yohe 2003).
7. UNFCCC Decision 5/CP7 and 6/CP7.
8. The Vulnerability and Adaptation Resource Group (VARG) is an informal network of bilateral and multilateral agencies that was formed to promote an open discussion of adaptation issues. The mission of VARG is to facilitate the integration of climate change adaptation responses in the development process through the sharing, assessment, synthesis, and dissemination of existing knowledge and experience. The target audience are developing countries, the UNFCCC process, civil society, and development agencies. Participating agencies so far have included: ADB, BMZ, CIDA, DFID, DGIS, EC, GEF, GTZ, OECD, UNDP, UNEP, USAID, USEPA, and the World Bank.





Glossary

Adaptation in natural or human systems is a response to actual or expected climate stimuli or their effects, which moderates harm or exploits beneficial opportunities. In this paper adaptation refers to all those responses to climatic conditions that may be used to reduce vulnerability. Adaptation is a very broad concept and can be used in a variety of ways. Adaptation to the (expected) negative impacts of climate change generally takes place in two ways: anticipatory (before impacts take place) and reactive (as a response to initial impacts). In natural systems adaptation is reactive by definition. In human systems adaptation can be both anticipatory and reactive and can be implemented by public and private actors. Private actors include individuals, households, communities, commercial companies and other actors, such as NGOs. Public actors include government bodies at all levels (Klein 2001; IPCC 2001b).

Adaptive capacity can be defined as the ability of people and systems to adjust to climate change, for example, by individual or collective coping strategies for the reduction and mitigation of risks or by changes in practices, processes or structures of systems. Adaptive capacity cannot be easily measured and is not well understood. But it is related to general levels of sustainable development such as political stability (civil conflict, functioning democracy), economic well-being (GDP growth, incidence of poverty), human and social capital (literacy, life expectancy, level of local organization, micro-finance institutions) and climate specific aspects (such as existing disaster prevention and mitigation systems).

Climate can be viewed as average weather. It represents the state of the climate system over a given time period and is usually described by the means and variation of variables such as temperature, precipitation, and wind, most commonly associated with weather.

"Climate variability" refers to variations in the mean state and other statistics (such as standard deviations, the occurrence of extremes, etc.) of the

climate on all temporal and spatial scales beyond that of individual weather events. Variability may be due to natural internal processes within the climate system (internal variability), or to variations in natural or anthropogenic external forcing (external variability)" (IPCC 2001a; 2001b).

"Climate change" refers to any change in climate over time, whether due to natural variability or as a result of human activity. This usage differs from that in the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), which defines "climate change" as: 'change in climate which is attributed directly or indirectly to human activity that alters the composition of the global atmosphere and which is in addition to natural variability observed over comparable time periods' (IPCC 2001a; 2001b).

Disaster is a serious disruption of the functioning of a community or a society causing widespread human, material, economic or environmental losses which exceed the ability of the affected community/society to cope using its own resources (UN/ISDR 2002).

Disaster risk reduction represents the systematic development and application of policies, strategies, and practices to minimize vulnerabilities and disaster risks throughout a society, to avoid or to limit adverse impact of hazards, within the broad context of sustainable development (UN/ISDR 2002).

Integration is used in this document when specific adaptation measures are added to design and implementation strategies. Thus, integration occurs in instances where adaptation to climate impacts is deemed to be a priority in order to effectively achieve development goals.

Livelihood comprises the capabilities, assets (including both material and social resources), and activities required for a means of living. A livelihood is sustainable when it can cope with and recover from stresses and shocks and maintain or enhance its capabilities and assets both



now and in the future, while not undermining the natural resource base (adapted from Chambers and Conway 1992).

Mainstreaming in this document is used to describe the consideration of climate issues in decision making processes such as planning and budgeting.

Mitigation entails all human interventions that reduce the sources or enhance the sinks of greenhouse gases (adapted from IPCC 2001a; 2001b).

Official development assistance is defined as those flows to countries on Part I of the DAC List of Aid Recipients [developing countries] and to multilateral institutions for flows to Part I aid recipients which are:

- i. provided by official agencies, including state and local governments, or by their executive agencies; and
- ii. each transaction of which:
 - a) is administered with the promotion of the economic development and welfare of developing countries as its main objective
 - b) is concessional in character and conveys a grant element of at least 25 percent (calculated at a rate of discount of 10 per cent) (OECD 2000).

"No regrets" policies and measures would generate net social benefits whether or not there is human-induced climate change (adapted from IPCC 2001b).

Poverty is now widely viewed as encompassing both income and non-income dimensions of deprivation, including lack of income and other material means; lack of access to basic social services such as education, health, and safe water; lack of personal security; and lack of empowerment to participate in the political process and in decisions that influence someone's life. The dynamics of poverty also are better understood, and extreme vulnerability to external shocks is now seen as one of its major features (UNDP 1997).

Preparedness includes all activities and measures taken in advance to ensure effective response to the impact of disaster, including the issuance of timely and effective early warnings and the temporary removal of people and property from a threatened location (UN/ISDR 2002).

Prevention includes all activities taken to outright avoid the adverse impacts of hazards and related environmental, technological and biological disasters (UN/ISDR 2002).

Resilience is the amount of change a system can undergo without changing state (IPCC 2001b).

Sink includes any process, activity, or mechanism that removes a greenhouse gas, an aerosol, or a precursor of a greenhouse gas or aerosol from the atmosphere (IPCC 2001a; 2001b).

Source includes any process, activity, or mechanism that releases a greenhouse gas, an aerosol, or a precursor of a greenhouse gas or aerosol into the atmosphere (IPCC 2001a; 2001b).

Sustainable development is defined as development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the capacity of future generations to meet their own needs.

Vulnerability is a more dynamic concept than poverty, since it captures the sense that people move in and out of poverty. The meaning of vulnerability encompasses exposure to risk, hazards, shocks and stress, difficulty in coping with contingencies, and access to assets. In the context of climate change, vulnerability to climate change is used in this report to mean the risk that climate change will cause a decline in the well-being of poor people and poor countries. This means the degree to which a system is susceptible to, or unable to cope with, adverse effects of climate change, including climate variability and extremes. This vulnerability is a function of the character, magnitude, and rate of climate variation to which a system is exposed, and its adaptive capacity.



References

- ADB (Asian Development Bank). 1999. *Desertification Rehabilitation and Ecology Restoration in China Highlight Land Degradation Prevention and Control in the Western Region*. A Discussion Paper for OP 12. Manila.
- Adger, W. N., S. R. Khan, and N. Brooks. 2003. *Measuring and Enhancing Adaptive Capacity*. UNDP Adaptation Policy Framework Technical Paper 7. New York.
- Amous, S, J. Harstad, M. Krause, B. Lim, R. Ramankutty, A. Salau, M. Sharma, R. Sharma, M. Perez Torralba, A. Vaish. 2000. *Review of climate change enabling activity projects*. Washington, D.C.: Global Environment Facility (GEF).
- Bardhan, P. 1996. "Efficiency, equity and poverty alleviation: Policy issues in less developed countries." *The Economic Journal* 106:1344-56.
- Batterbury, S. and A. Warren. 1999. *Land-use and Land Degradation in South Western Niger: Change and Continuity*. End of Award Report for Social and Environmental Relationships in Dryland Agriculture (SERIDA) to the Global and Environmental Change, Development Studies Institute, London School of Economics.
- Bettencourt, S. 2002. Personal Communication.
- Bhutan, the Royal Government of. 2000. National Environment Commission. Initial National Communication. <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/natc/bhunc1.pdf>
- Chambers, R., and G. Conway. 1992. *Sustainable rural livelihoods: Practical concepts for the 21st century*. Institute for Development Studies (IDS) Discussion Paper 296. Brighton.
- Charveriat, C. 2000. *Natural Disasters in Latin America and the Caribbean: An Overview of Risk*. Inter-American Development Bank (IADB). Working Paper #434. Washington, DC.
- Choudhury, O.H., K. M. Nabiul Islam, and D. Bhattacharya. 1999. *The Losses of 1998 Flood and its Impact on the National Economy*. Dhaka: Bangladesh Institute of for Development Studies (BIDS).
- Choudhury, S.H.M. 1998. *Report on Bangladesh Flood 1998. Chronology, Damages and Responses*. Dhaka: Management Information and Monitoring (MIM) Division, Disaster Management Bureau, Government of Bangladesh.
- Conde, C. and K. Lonsdale. 2003. *Stakeholder Engagement in the Adaptation Process*. UNDP Adaptation Policy Framework Technical Paper 2. New York.
- Cornia A. 1999. *Liberalization, Globalization and Income Distribution*. World Institute for Development Economics Research (WIDER) Working Paper No.157. Helsinki.
- DFID (Department for International Development). 2002. *Negotiating Social Sustainability: What stops hazards? Living with floods in North-East Bangladesh*. Keysheet 5. London.
- DFID, EC, UNDP, and The World Bank. 2002. *Linking Poverty Reduction and Environmental Management – Policy Challenges and Opportunities*. Washington, D.C: The World Bank.
- Dilley, M., C. Nierenberg, and B. Watson. 1997. *Getting ahead of the Curve: Reducing Climate-Related Vulnerability in Southern Africa*. Unpublished Manuscript.
- Dixon, J., L. A. Carpenter, L. A. Fallon, P. B. Sherman, and S. Manopimoke. 1988. *Economic Analysis of Environmental Impacts of Development Projects*. London: Earthscan Publications Ltd.
- Dixon, J., F. Scura, R. Carpenter, and P. Sherman. 1994. *Economic Analysis of Environmental Impacts*. Published in association with the Asian Development Bank and the World Bank. London: Earthscan Publications Ltd.



- Dollar, D. and A. Kray. 2000. *Growth is Good for the Poor*. Washington, D.C.: The World Bank.
- Downing, T. E., and A. Patwardhan. 2003. *Vulnerability Assessment for Climate Adaptation*. UNDP Adaptation Policy Framework Technical Paper 3. New York: UNDP.
- Ethiopia, Federal Democratic Republic of. 2001. Initial National Communications of Ethiopia to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. Ministry of Water Resources. National Meteorological Services Agency. Addis Ababa. <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/natc/ethnc1.pdf>
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). 2002. *World agriculture: towards 2015/2030*. Summary report. Rome. <http://www.fao.org/docrep/004/y3557e/y3557e00.htm>
- Freeman, P. K., L. Martin, R. Mechler, and K. Warner. 2001. *Catastrophes and Development Integrating Natural Catastrophes into Development Planning*. World Bank, Disaster Management Facility, ProVention Consortium. Washington, D.C.
- Galbraith J., W. Darity, and L. Jiaqing. 1998, *Measuring the Evolution of Inequality in the Global Economy*. Austin: University of Texas. (Processed)
- Gallup, J. L., and J. D. Sachs. 2000. *The Economic Burden of Malaria*. CID Working Paper 52, Center for International Development. Boston: Harvard University.
- Hees, U., D. Richter, and A. Stoppa. 2002. *Weather Risk Management for Agriculture and Agri-Business in Developing Countries*. Rome: IFC, The World Bank, and Procom AGR.
- Hulme, M. 1996. *Climate Change and Southern Africa*. Climate Research Unit, University of East Anglia on behalf of WWF.
- IFRC-RCS (International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies). 2002. *World Disaster Report*. Geneva.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). 2001a. *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis*. Contribution of the Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (eds. Houghton, J.T., Y. Ding, D.J. Griggs, M. Noguer, P.J. van der Linden, X. Dai, K. Maskell and C.A. Johnson). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- _____. 2001b. *Climate Change 2001: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability*. A Contribution of the Working Group II to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (eds. McCarthy, J.J., O.F. Canziani, N.A. Leary, D.J. Dokken and K.S. White). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- _____. 2001c. *Climate Change 2001: Synthesis Report* (eds. R. Watson, and IPCC core writing team). http://www.grida.no/climate/ipcc_tar/syr/001.htm
- Jones, R., and Boer, R. 2003. *Assessing Current Climate Risks*. UNDP Adaptation Policy Framework Technical Paper 4. New York: UNDP.
- Jones, R., and Mearns, L. 2003. *Assessing Future Climate Risks*. UNDP Adaptation Policy Framework Technical Paper 5. New York: UNDP.
- Klein, R.T.J. 2001. *Adaptation to Climate Change in German Development Assistance – An Inventory of Activities and Opportunities, with a Special Focus on Africa*. Eschborn: Deutsche Gesellschaft für Technische Zusammenarbeit (GTZ).
- Maldives, Republic of. 2001. First National Communication of the Republic of the Maldives to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. Ministry of Home Affairs, Housing and Environment. Malé. <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/natc/maldnc1.pdf>
- Matin, M.A. 1998. "Some Lessons to be Learnt from the 1998 Flood." Dhaka: *The Daily Star*, September 1.
- McMichael, A. J., A. Haines, R. Slooff, and S. Kovats. 1996. *Climate Change and Human Health*. An assessment prepared by a task group on behalf of the World Health Organization (WHO), the World Meteorological Organization and the United Nations Environment Program. WHO/EHG/96.7. Geneva: WHO.
- Milanovic, B. 1999. *True World Income Distribution, 1988 and 1993: first calculation based on household*



- surveys alone. Development Research Group, The World Bank. Washington D.C.
- Mongolia, Government of. 2001. Mongolia's Initial National Communication to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/natc/mongnc1.pdf>
- Mosley, P. 2000. *Insurance against Poverty? Design and Impact of New Generation Agricultural Microinsurance Schemes*. Discussion Paper Series 2000 – 15. Sheffield: University of Sheffield.
- Mozambique, Republic of. 2001. Action Plan for the Reduction of Absolute Poverty (2001–05).
- Narayan, D., R. Patel, K. Schafft, A. Rademacher, and S. Koch-Schulte. 2000. *Voices of the Poor: Can Anyone Hear Us?* New York: Oxford University Press.
- Niang-Diop, I., and H. Bosch. 2003. *Formulation of an Adaptation Strategy*. UNDP Adaptation Policy Framework Technical Paper 8. New York: UNDP.
- Nicaragua, the Government of. 2001. Poverty Reduction Strategy.
- ODI (Overseas Development Institute). 2002. *Rethinking Rural Development*. ODI Briefing Paper March 2002. London.
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development). 2000. DAC Statistical Reporting Directives. DCD/DAC(2000)10, paragraph 32. <http://www.oecd.org/dac/htm/dacdir.htm>
- _____. 2001. *DAC Guidelines on Poverty Reduction*. Paris.
- _____. 2002. *DAC Guidelines on Integrating the Rio Conventions into Development Cooperation*. Paris.
- OFDA (Office of U.S. Foreign Disaster Assistance)/CREED (The Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters). *EM-DAT (The Emergency Events Database)*. International Disaster Database. Université Catholique de Louvain.
- Orlove, B.S., J. C. H. Chiang, and M. A. Cane. 2000. "Forecasting Andean rainfall and crop yield from the influence of El Niño on Pleiades visibility." *Nature* 403.
- Perez, R. T. and G. Yohe. 2003. *Continuing the Adaptation Process*. UNDP Adaptation Policy Framework Technical Paper 9. New York.
- Philippines, the Government of the. 1999. *The Philippines' Initial National Communications on Climate Change*. <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/natc/phinc1.pdf>
- Prüss, Annette, David Kay, Lorna Fewtrell, and Jamie Bartram. 2002. "Estimating the Burden of Disease from Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene at a Global Level." *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 110(5):537-542.
- Ravallion, M. 2000. *Growth and Poverty: Making Sense of the Current Debate*. Washington, D.C.: The World Bank.
- Sashankar, S. 2002. *The Sunamganj Hoar Tragedy in 2002*. Draft Report for ActionAid, Dhaka.
- Skees, J., P. Hazell, and M. I. Mirand. 1999. *New Approaches to Crop Yield Insurance in Developing Countries*. EPTD Discussion Paper No. 55. Washington, D.C.: International Food Policy Research Institute.
- Skees, J., P. Varangis, D. Larson, and P. Siegel. 2001. *Can Financial Markets be Tapped to Help Poor People Cope with Weather Risks?* Policy Research Working Paper. Helsinki: United Nations University/World Institute for Development Economics Research (UNU/WIDER).
- Thébaud, B. 1998. *Sahelian shepherds still struggling 25 years after the big drought*. École des Hautes Etudes en Sciences Sociales (EHESS). Paris.
- UNCTAD (United Nations Conference on Trade and Development). 1997. *Trade and Development Report, 1997 – Globalization, Distribution and Growth*. Geneva.
- UNDP (United Nations Development Programme). 1997. *Human Development Report 1997 – Human Development to Eradicate Poverty*. New York: Oxford University Press.



- _____. 1999. *Human Development Report 1999 – Globalization with a Human Face*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- _____. 2001. *Disaster Profiles of the Least Developed Countries*. New York.
- _____. 2003a. An Adaptation Policy Framework and supporting Technical Papers. New York. www.undp.org/cc/apf_outline.htm
- _____. 2003b. Global Vulnerability Report. Geneva. (In press).
- UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme). 2001. *Vulnerability Indices, Climate Change Impacts and Adaptation* (eds. Tom Downing, Ruth Butterfield, Stewart Cohen, Saleemul Huq, Richard Moss, Atiq Rehman, Youba Sokona, and Linda Stephen).
- _____. 2002. *Global Environment Outlook 3*. UK: Earthscan Publications Ltd.
- UNEP/GRID (Global Resource Information Database)-Arendal. 2000. *Vital Climate Graphics: The Impacts of Climate Change*. Arendal.
- UNEP/ICIMOD (International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development), 2002. (Information on Glacial Lake Outburst Flood Monitoring and Early Warning System at: <http://www.grida.no/inf/news/news02/news30.htm>; <http://www.rrcap.unep.org/issues/glof/>)
- UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change). 1992. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/convkp/conveng.pdf>.
- _____. 1997. The Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/convkp/kpeng.pdf>
- _____. 2001. The Marrakesh Accords (decision 4/CP.7). <http://unfccc.int>
- UN/ISDR (United Nations/International Strategy for Disaster Reduction). 2002. *Disaster Reduction and Sustainable Development: Understanding the Links between Vulnerability and Risk to Disasters Related to Development and Environment*. Background paper for the World Summit on Sustainable Development (WSSD) Johannesburg, 26 August- 4 September 2002. <http://www.unisdr.org/unisdr/WSSDdocrevisedsept02.htm>
- USAID (U.S. Agency for International Development)/BHR (Bureau for Humanitarian Response)/OFDA (Office of U.S. Foreign Disaster Assistance).
- Venema, H. D., E. J. Schiller, K. Adamowski, and J. M. Thizy. 1997. "A Water Resources Planning Response to the Climate Change in the Senegal River Basin." *Journal of Environmental Management* 49(1).
- Vos R., M. Velasco, and E. De Labatida. 1999. *Economic and Social Effects of El Niño in Ecuador*. Washington, D.C.: Inter-American Development Bank.
- WHO. 2002. *What is malaria?* RBM (Roll Back Malaria) Infosheet 1, March 2002. Geneva.
- WMO (World Meteorological Organization), NOAA (US National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration), USAID (U.S. Agency for International Development), IRI (International Research Institute for Climate Prediction), South African Weather Bureau, The World Bank. 2000. *Coping with the Climate: A Way Forward*. New York: IRI.
- World Bank. 2000. *World Development Report 2000/2001*. Washington, D.C.
- _____. 2001. *World Development Report 2002*. Washington, D.C.
- Yemen, Republic of. 2001. Initial National Communications to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.



Imprint

Printrun

5000

Layout

Peter Philips, MediaCompany Berlin

Suhel al-Janabi, GeoMedia, Bonn

Photo Credits

Suhel al-Janabi, GeoMedia Photo Archive:

p.VIII, p.8, p.30, p.33

Curt Carnemark, Word Bank Photo Library:

p.X, p.5, p.9, p.11, p.13,

GTZ:

p.2, p.18, p.23

Francis Dobbs, Word Bank Photo Library:

p.7

Ray Witlin, Word Bank Photo Library:


p.29

Sophia Bettencourt, World Bank:

p.14



DFID



African Development Bank

Asian Development Bank

Department for International
Development, United Kingdom

Directorate-General for
International Cooperation,
Ministry of Foreign Affairs,
The Netherlands

Directorate-General for Development,
European Commission

Federal Ministry for Economic
Cooperation and Development,
Germany

Organization for Economic
Cooperation and Development

United Nations Development
Programme

United Nations Environment
Programme

The World Bank

